



Indian Law Reports - Kerala Series

Abridged Index

I.L.R. - INDEX OF REPORTED CASES dt. 01.07.2026

Administrative Law—The doctrine of proportionality, deeply embedded in constitutional jurisprudence, demands that the severity of a remedial measure must bear a rational and proportionate relationship to the nature and magnitude of the wrong sought to be remedied—Public law must be sensitive to the distinction between remedies that restore public welfare and remedies that merely punish, when punishment comes at the cost of the very public the law seeks to protect - Raheja K Corp. Pvt Limited v. State of Maharashtra and others - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 199 (SC) : [Neutral Citation No. 2026 INSC 551](#).

Constitution of India—Article 14—Prospectus for Admission to Professional Degree Courses, 2026—The Court should refrain from interfering in academic matters or matters concerning the correctness of policies adopted by the Government, unless there is ex facie arbitrariness or malafides involved or the policy is contrary to any statutory provision or the Constitution of India or totally absurd that no reasonable person could have adopted it - Jomon Jaison and others v. State of Kerala and another - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 198 : [Neutral Citation No.2026:KER:39956](#).

Constitution of India—Articles 14, 15 and 16—Exclusion of married daughters from the definition of “family” for the purpose of compassionate appointment fails the test of reasonable classification and is manifestly arbitrary—Exclusion is founded solely

upon marital status and gender stereotypes and consequently, violates Articles 14 and 15(1) of the Constitution of India—When the language of an enactment is reasonably capable of more than one meaning, the Court is not merely permitted but is obliged to prefer that construction which promotes the provision and avoids a result that would be arbitrary or unjust—Court should adopt purposive interpretation in cases where the literal interpretation may not serve the purpose or may lead to absurdity— Interpretation of statutes - Kulsum Nisha v. State of UP and others - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 200 (SC) : [Neutral Citation No.2026 INSC 617](#).

Co-operative Societies Rules, 1969 (Kerala)—Rule 43 C—Rule 43C added by the Kerala Co - operative Societies (Amendment) Rules, 2025, which laid down the procedure for a no—confidence motion under Section 33 (1) of the KCS Act, for removal of the managing committee by the general body, is neither unconstitutional nor ultra vires the provisions of the Act—Absence of a provision pari materia to Rule 43C of the KCS Rules in the laws relating to co-operative societies in other states is not a ground on which the provisions under the said rule can be declared as unconstitutional and ultra vires the provisions of the Act—Grounds under which a rule can be challenged, explained—Co-operative Societies Act, 1969 (Kerala Act 21 of 1969)—Section 33—Constitution of India—Article 19(1)(c) - Shaji Mohan v. State of Kerala and others - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 197 : Neutral Citation No.2026:IO:KER:4.

Pl. Visit our website - www.ilrkerala.gov.in