



Indian Law Reports - Kerala Series

Abridged Index

I.L.R. – Index of reported cases dt. 02.09.2026

Aadhaar (Targeted Delivery of Financial and Other Subsidies, Benefits and Services) Act, 2016 (Central Act 18 of 2016)—Mandating Aadhaar authentication for online Tatkal booking, is justified on the test of proportionality—Aadhaar authentication is not required for purchase of offline Tatkal tickets—IRCTC may consider providing the option of PAN Card based OTP authentication as alternative mechanism for Aadhaar based OTP authentication - Bahadur Shah Anakkot Nasirali v. Union of India and others - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 273 : [Neutral Citation No.2026:KER:54792](#).

Advocates Act, 1961 (Central Act 25 of 1961)—Section 6—Manipulation of records is not expected from a statutory body such as Bar Council of Kerala - Simy S. v. Bar Council of Kerala and others - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 270 : [Neutral Citation No.2026:KER:61973](#).

Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 (Central Act 5 of 1908)—Section 151 and Order VIII, Rule 1, proviso—Inherent powers of the Commercial Court—Notwithstanding the bar created under proviso to Rule 1 of Order VIII, it is open to the Commercial Court to exercise its powers under Section 151 to require the defendant to file additional written statements, if the circumstances so warrant - Subin Backer v. Axis Bank Ltd and others - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 274 : [Neutral Citation No.2026:KER:59798](#).

Commercial Courts Act, 2015 (Central Act 4 of 2016)—Section 16 (1) and Schedule—Right of the defendants to file a written statement stands forfeited after the expiry of 120 days—Civil Procedure Code, 1908 (Central Act 5 of 1908)—Order VIII, Rule 1, proviso and Rule 9 - Subin Backer v. Axis Bank Ltd and others - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 274 : [Neutral Citation No. 2026 :KER:59798](#).

Land Utilisation Order, 1967 (Kerala)—Clause 11—An appeal under Clause 11 of the Kerala Land Utilisation Order, 1967 is subject to the provisions of Section 5 of the Limitation Act—Delay cannot be condoned on the basis of an assertion that the authorities came to know of the order only at a later stage when the records establish earlier knowledge—Delay cannot be condoned when a belated appeal is filed to escape from contempt proceedings—Delay is to be condoned when appellant satisfies the court that he had sufficient cause for not preferring appeal within the prescribed period—Rules of limitation are meant to see that parties do not resort to dilatory tactics, but seek their remedy promptly—Limitation Act, 1963 (Central Act 36 of 1963)—Section 5 - Jyothi Krishnan v. State of Kerala and others - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 272 : [Neutral Citation No.2026:KER:53885](#).

Penal Code, 1860 (Central Act 45 of 1860)—Sections 304 B and 498A—Section 498A to be applicable to “live-in relationships” that qualify as “relationships in the nature of marriage” with the intent to marry being established as an intrinsic part thereof—The proposition of law as laid down shall be limited to Section 498A only, and this extended interpretation shall not affect any other provision—The safeguards against arrest and other factors as laid down in re Arnesh Kumar shall be strictly applied and no person in a relationship in the nature of marriage having been accused of committing cruelty against a woman, being either the live-in partner “in the nature of marriage” or his relative, shall be arrested without preliminary enquiries—Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (Central Act 45 of 2023)—Section 85—Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (Central Act 2 of 1974)—Section 125—Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (Central Act 46 of 2023)—Section 144 - Lokesh B.H. (Dr) and others v. State of Karnataka and another - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 271 (S.C.): [Neutral Citation No.2026 INSC 784](#).

Service Rules, 1956 (Kerala)—Part I, Chapter III, Rule 23, Government Decision No. 1—Resignation—Withdrawal before becoming effective—Resignation tendered by an employee does not become effective unless two cumulative conditions are fulfilled: (i) It is accepted by the competent appointing authority, and (ii) The employee is relieved of duties—Chairman of the Bar Council of Kerala is not competent to accept resignation of an employee—Advocates Act, 1961 (Central Act 25 of 1961)—Section 11 - Simy S. v. Bar Council of Kerala and others - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 270 : [Neutral Citation No.2026:KER:61973](#).

Pl. visit our website- www.ilrkerala.gov.in
