# Indian Law Reports - Kerala Series

# Abridged Index

**I.L.R. – Index of cases reported dt. 04.11.2026**

**Banking**—Bank is entitled to adjust the various amounts lying at the credit of the petitioner in the provident fund account for setting off the liability payable by the petitioner towards the credit facility, on the basis of the undertaking/waiver executed by him—Employee's Provident Fund and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (Central Act 19 of 1952)—Section 10 - Mani K.S.P. v. Trustees, Union Bank of India Employees' Provident Fund and another - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 354 : Neutral Citation No.2026:KER:71649.

**Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (Central Act 46 of 2023)**—Sections 311 and 447(2)—Proviso to Section 447 (2) explicitly operates as a condition precedent to invoke High Court's power to transfer a proceeding; It does not require the applicant to challenge the Sessions Judge's order by way of a separate proceeding—Mere fact of a prior appearance for the victim by the Prosecutor, by itself, is insufficient to establish apprehension that the prosecutor may not discharge his statutory duty fairly and independently—Preference of a party for a particular mode of recording of evidence cannot curtail the discretion of the Judge—Passing an erroneous order by itself is not a ground to order transfer of a case—Court emphasized the balance to be maintained between active judicial control of the proceedings and the appearance of complete neutrality - Akash M.V. and others v. State of Kerala and another - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 353 : Neutral Citation No.2026:KER:74463.

**Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 (Central Act 5 of 1908)**—Order IX, Rule 7—In a commercial suit the procedure is strictly governed by the proviso to Rule 1 of Order VIII of the CPC, which imposes an outer limit of 120 days—Petitioners filed an application to accept the written statement along with an application to set aside the ex parte order, under Order IX Rule 7 of the CPC much after the statutory period—The procedure under Rules 7 and 6 (1) (a) of Order IX cannot govern the determination of the outer limit of 120 days in commercial suits—By inserting a proviso to Rule 1 of Order VIII of the CPC, Parliament established a distinct procedure for commercial suits—Order IX Rule 7 cannot operate as an exception to the proviso to Rule 1 of Order VIII - Order VIII Rule 1 - Anwar Basheer and another v. M/s Abaan Impex Pvt. Ltd. - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 348 : Neutral Citation No.2026:KER:74388.

**Constitution of India**—Article 21—Right to choose attire—Part of the fundamental right of every citizen—Societal customs and unwritten norms cannot dictate how a citizen should dress—Enforcement of dress codes without statutory authority—Legality of non-statutory mandates restricting personal autonomy, examined - Sindhu S. Nair v. Secretary, General Education Department and others - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 350 : Neutral Citation No.2026:KER:76813.

**Constitution of India**—Article 226—Intra-court appeal—Letters Patent Appeal / Writ Appeal—Powers of Division Bench—Division bench has the inherent power to remand a case to Single bench—The power to remand by the Division Bench is an exception to the rule, to be done solely and exclusively, when the Single Bench had not considered an issue of vital importance/aspect—Division bench and Single bench are all constituent parts of the High Court—Neither is superior to the other—Remand cannot be ordered for reconsidering an issue already considered by the Single bench - Bindhu I. v. M/s. Thiruvananthapuram Service Co-Operative Bank Limited No.T. 131 and another - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 351: Neutral Citation No.2026:KER:79704.

**Constitution of India**—Article 226—Legal Maxim—'De minimis non curat lex'—Where the damages are small, and the question too inconsiderable to be retried, the Court will refuse to intervene - Vayanakam Service Co-operative Bank Ltd. v. Deputy Labour Commissioner and another - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 347 : Neutral Citation No.2026:KER:74512.

**Constitution of India**—Article 226—Practice and Procedure—Withdrawal of Writ Petition—Court cannot refuse permission to withdraw the Writ petition unless there are compelling reasons which should be recorded in the order - Sadique C.A. v. Federal Bank, Perumbavoor Branch and others - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 355 :

Neutral Citation No.2026:KER:80353.

**High Court Act, 1958 (Kerala Act 5 of 1959)**—Section 5(i)—Intra-court appeal—Petition for leave to appeal by third parties/prospective accused—Judicial direction by Single Judge to register FIR, commence investigation, and file final report - Refusal of leave to appeal by Division Bench on the ground that prospective accused have no right to be heard before FIR registration—Findings in judicial order prejudicial to independent challenge against FIR—If the prospective accused are not permitted to assail such finding in the appellate proceedings, the same shall come in their way, if an independent proceeding is initiated, assailing the First Information Report as per law - Prospective accused possess locus standi to appeal—Prevention of Money Laundering Act, 2002 (Central Act 15 of 2003)—Section 66(2)—Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988 (Central Act 49 of 1988)—Section 17A—Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (Central Act 46 of 2023)—Section 175(4) - Pinarayi Vijayan v. Shajahan K.M. and others - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 349 (SC).

**Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947)**—Sections 17 and 17A—Enforceability of Award—Extension of time frame fixed for de novo enquiry—Doctrine of functus officio—Powers of Labour Court to do justice—Labour Court has the power to extend the period to complete the domestic enquiry even after the award has become enforceable - Bindhu I. v. M/s. Thiruvananthapuram Service Co-Operative Bank Limited No.T. 131 and another - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 351: Neutral Citation No.2026:KER:79704.

**Labour Law**—Exercise of incidental powers—Enlargement of time for completing disciplinary enquiry—Mechanical acceptance of management's explanation—Absence of judicial scrutiny and non-consideration of employee's objections—Order of the Labour Court liable to be set aside - Bindhu I. v. M/s. Thiruvananthapuram Service Co-Operative Bank Limited No.T. 131 and another - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 351: Neutral Citation No.2026:KER:79704.

**Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881 (Central Act 26 of 1881)**—Sections 118(a), 138 and 139—An acquittal in the criminal case does not operate as res judicata or issue estoppel in civil proceedings—Even where the same documents are produced, and the very same witnesses are examined in both the private complaint under Section 138 and the civil suit, the criminal court's judgment does not become binding on the civil court merely for that reason—Difference in the standard of proof required for proceedings under Section 138 and civil suit, discussed—Signing refers to the physical act of affixing one's signature, which does not imply delivery or intention to act upon the cheque—Evidence Act, 1872 (Central Act 1 of 1872)—Section 40—Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 (Central Act 5 of 1908)—Section 11 - Prameela Varghese v. Abraham Samuel - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 352 : Neutral Citation

No.2026:KER:57049.

**Patents Act, 1970 (Central Act 39 of 1970)**—Sections 99 and 100—Provisions of Section 100 of the Act would also include the entitlement of the Government to use the patent or invention for manufacturing the medicine covered by the patent and sell the same to a person, including a needy patient, on a non-commercial basis—Section 100 of the Act is required to be invoked in circumstances where the Government is required to intervene, such as an instance where a medicine manufactured on the basis of a patent is being sold at an exorbitant price—Government directed to collate the required data and arrive at a decision as to whether a particular medicine is affordable or not and, on that basis, proceed in accordance with Section 100 of the Act, if found necessary—Constitution of India—Articles 21 and 47 - In re Exorbitant Pricing of Life Saving Patented Medicines v. Union of India and others - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 356: Neutral Citation No.2026:KER:74251.

**Pl. visit our web site – www.ilrkerala.gov.in**

*****