

## **Abridged Index**

## Index of Reported cases – I.L.R. – 05/02/2025

Allotment of Government Land in Development Areas on Hire Purchase For Industrial Purpose Rules, 1969 (Kerala)—Rule 11—Resumption of land—If it can be reasonably shown that the land is being used for the purpose for which it was allotted and that industrial growth is being achieved, then the Department is not expected to hold an enquiry with a magnifying glass to somehow find some ways and means to cancel the allotment and shut down a running unit generating employment and contributing to the industrial growth—Constitution of India—Article 14 - M/s. Mother's Agro Foods (P) Ltd. v. General Manager, District Industries Centre and others - ILR 2025 Kerala OnLine 38 : Neutral Citation No.2025:KER:3732

Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (Central Act 2 of 1974)—Section 195—Ingredients examined - Ajayan M.R. v. State of Kerala and others - ILR 2025 Kerala OnLine 44 (SC) : <u>Neutral Citation No.2024 INSC 881</u>

Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (Central Act 2 of 1974)—Sections 161, 164, 173, 207 and 208—Further investigation on the eventuality of finding new material cannot affect the finality of a refer report/final report under Section 173(8)—Right of the defacto complainant to get a copy of his statement recorded under Section 164 cannot be denied—Criminal Rules of Practice, 1982 (Kerala)—Rule 226 - Snigdha Kumar v. Inspector of Police, CBCID, Alappuzha - ILR 2025 Kerala OnLine 41 : Neutral Citation No. 2025:KER:282

Criminal practise—Locus Standi—In cases involving serious allegations, locus standi will not come in the way - Ajayan M.R. v. State of Kerala and others - ILR 2025 Kerala OnLine 44 (SC): Neutral Citation No.2024 INSC 881

Criminal Trial—Doctrine of parity—Parity is not an automatic entitlement -Kunhimuhammed@ Kunheethu v. State of Kerala - ILR 2025 Kerala OnLine 43 : Neutral Citation No. 2024 INSC 937

Evidence Act, 1872 (Central Act 1 of 1872)—Section 68—Probative value of the witness who was asked a leading question is not much—Due execution and attestation of the Will cannot be held to be proved when evidence of attesting witness as a whole is contrary and unbelievable— Succession Act, 1925 (Central Act 39 of 1925)—Section 63(c) - Dinachandran K.S. v. Shyla Joseph and others - ILR 2025 Kerala OnLine 40 : Neutral Citation No.2025:KER:672

Limitation Act, 1963 (Central Act 36 of 1963)—Section 5—Condonation of delay should be considered subject to showing sufficient cause—The discretion to condone the delay must be exercised judicially with a liberal approach, taking into account the facts and circumstances of the particular case—Key parameters for condoning delay—Discussed - Subashini P. and another v. Vijayalakshmi and others - ILR 2025 Kerala OnLine 39 : <u>Neutral Citation No.2025:KER:3642</u>

Penal Code, 1860 (Central Act 45 of 1860)—Section 300—Offence of murder is attracted even if the intention was only to cause bodily injury which, in the ordinary course of nature, is sufficient to cause death - Kunhimuhammed@ Kunheethu v. State of Kerala - ILR 2025 Kerala OnLine 43: Neutral Citation No. 2024 INSC 937

Penal Code, 1860 (Central Act 45 of 1869)—Section 300—Ingredients of private defence, examined - Kunhimuhammed@ Kunheethu v. State of Kerala - ILR 2025 Kerala OnLine 43 : <u>Neutral Citation No. 2024 INSC 937</u>

Practice and Procedure—Joint trial of suits—Court should be guided by the principles of equity, justice, convenience, necessity and prevent prejudice to a party, while ordering the joint trial of suits - Muhammed v. Raveendran Nair and others - ILR 2025 Kerala OnLine 37 : <u>Neutral Citation No.2025:KER:2136</u>

Transplantation of Human Organs Act, 1994 (Central Act 42 of 1994)—Sections 2(i) and 9(3)—It would not be pragmatic to assess every donation between non-relatives on scales or view them with scepticism, in such summary proceedings—A rigid and inflexible interpretation of Section 9(3) would undermine the laudable object of the

provision and render it otiose and nugatory—When donor asserts that donation is made purely out of altruism, in the absence of any credible material to the contrary, the statement has to be accepted – Court directed statutory authorities to grant required approval for the transplantation procedure, by invoking powers under Article 226—Transplantation of Human Organs and Tissues Rules, 2014 (Central Rules)—Rules 7 and 19— Constitution of India—Article 226 - Uvais Muhammed K.C. and another v. State of Kerala and others - ILR 2025 Kerala OnLine 42 : <u>Neutral Citation No. 2025:KER:195</u>

\*\*\*\*