



Indian Law Reports - Kerala Series

Abridged Index

ILR – Index of reported cases dt. 06.05.2026

Constitution of India—Articles 12 and 226—Co-operative Societies are neither departments of the State nor owned, financially controlled, or administratively dominated by the State in a manner that would render them instrumentalities of the State within the meaning of Article 12—Disputes which pertain purely to the internal management, governance or electoral processes of co-operative societies do not, as a matter of course, attract writ jurisdiction merely because such societies owe their incorporation to a statute—Though the existence of an alternative remedy does not operate as an absolute bar to the exercise of jurisdiction under Article 226, High Courts ordinarily refrain from entertaining writ petitions, where an efficacious statutory remedy is available, particularly in matters concerning elections or where the statute provides for specialised forums—Right to vote and right to contest an election are only statutory rights, and not fundamental rights—High Court could not have invalidated byelaws of the societies who were not before the Court, thereby depriving them of an opportunity of hearing - Ram Chandra Choudhary and others v. Roop Nagar Dugdh Utpadak Sahakari Samiti Limited and others - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 136 (SC) : [Neutral Citation No.2026 INSC 347](#).

Constitution of India—Articles 21 and 226—Only in cases where the order of the Permanent Lok Adalat is perverse or results in gross injustice to the party complaining of, an interference is required—Court can refrain from invoking the jurisdiction, if it is found from the materials that the principles of equity is not in favour of the petitioner but it is on the other side, and exercising such powers, would cause

serious prejudice to the respondent, who is person belonging to a weaker section—When the writ sought to be enforced by the petitioner affects the rights of the respondent to live with dignity, as guaranteed under Article 21 of the Constitution of India, the Court will desist from invoking such powers, even if there is some technical discrepancy in the order impugned in the writ petition—Legal Service Authorities Act, 1987 (Central Act 39 of 1987)—Sections 22B and 22D - Star Health and Allied Insurance Company Limited v. Balakrishnan K M and others - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 137 : [Neutral Citation No.2026:KER:20628](#).

Constitution of India—Article 226—Writ of quo warranto—In order to make out a case for issuance of writ of quo warranto it is imperative for the petitioner to establish that the usurpers are holding public office and is discharging sovereign functions—Writ of quo warranto is to protect the public from usurpers of public office—Pre-requisites for issuing a writ of quo warranto elucidated—Concept of a public office presupposes a post which has clear public trappings and must be an office where the incumbent is associated with duties of a public nature - Sasidharan G. v. State of Kerala and others - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 140 : [Neutral Citation No.2026:KER:30901](#).

General Clauses Act, 1897 (Central Act 10 of 1897)—Section 9—When the provision uses the word “from”, as provided in the PWD Manual, for calculating the period stipulated therein, the first day in the series of the days shall be excluded—The date on which the email was sent directing the petitioner to execute the agreement, has to be excluded as provided in the General Clauses Act—Interpretation and General Clauses Act, 1125 (Kerala Act 7 of 1125)—Section 8 - M/s. Creezin Infra L.L.P. v. State of Kerala and others - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 139 : [Neutral Citation No.2026:KER:24010](#).

Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 (Central Act 61 of 1985)—Section 37—In cases where the accused is a foreign national, compliance with Section 48 of the BNSS is fulfilled if the arrest and its grounds are communicated to the FRRO or the foreign national’s Embassy/Consulate—When the applicant was produced before the Magistrate within twenty four hours of his actual detention by the Police, it cannot be said that there is any violation of Article 22(2)—The solitary financial transaction by a co-accused with the main accused from whom the contraband was seized without any other material to show the complicity of the co accused in the crime cannot be a reason to implicate him in the crime under the NDPS Act—Notwithstanding the bail granted by a criminal court, the power to arrest and detain a foreigner can be exercised, provided the Central Government makes an order in terms of clause (g) of Section 3(2) of the Foreigners Act—Constitution of India—Article 22—Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (Central Act 46 of 2023)—Sections 47 and 48—Foreigners Act, 1946 (Central Act 31 of 1946)—Section 3 - Abdul Hamid Makame v. State of Kerala and others - I.L.R.

2026 Kerala OnLine 138 : [Neutral Citation No.2026:KER:24424.](#)

Pl. visit our website- www.ilrkerala.gov.in
