



Indian Law Reports - Kerala Series

Abridged Index

I.L.R. - INDEX OF REPORTED CASES dt. 09.07.2025

Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 (Central Act 26 of 1996)—Section 11—High Court has limited jurisdiction to examine whether a non-signatory is a 'veritable' party to the Arbitration agreement or not—If such examination is complex in nature and requires deeper enquiry, the Court has to leave it for the Arbitrator to decide - Laly Joseph v. Chazhikattu Hospitals Private Limited and others - I.L.R. 2025 Kerala OnLine 226 : [Neutral Citation No. 2025:KER:44852](#).

Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 (Central Act 26 of 1996)—Section 11 (5)—Notice to arbitrate—Where notice invoking arbitration is not issued to the non signatory to the agreement, the Court need not appoint arbitrator to adjudicate dispute between non- signatory and signatory - Laly Joseph v. Chazhikattu Hospitals Private Limited and others - I.L.R. 2025 Kerala OnLine 226 : [Neutral Citation No. 2025:KER:44852](#).

Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 (Central Act 5 of 1908)—Order VIII Rules 5, 9 and 10—On a perusal of Order VIII Rule 5, 9 and 10, no conclusion can be arrived at to the effect that, if replication is not filed denying the plea of discharge raised by the defendant in the written statement, the same amounts to admission—Evidence Act, 1872 (Central Act 1 of 1872)—Section 103 - Sasikala and another v. Anzil - I.L.R. 2025 Kerala OnLine 221: [Neutral Citation No. 2025:KER:42290](#).

Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (Central Act 2 of 1974)—Sections 362 and 482— High Court cannot review its judgment to recall the order quashing an F.I.R. and re- institute the F.I.R. on the ground that the compromise between parties fell through—The only exceptions to the bar, which would permit the invocation of inherent powers, would be if it is necessary to meet the ends of justice; or to remedy the abuse of the process of law - Raghunath Sharma and others v. State of Haryana and another - I.L.R. 2025 Kerala OnLine 220 S.C. : [Neutral Citation No.2025 INSC 723.](#)

Constitution of India—Article 226—It requires no mention that a judgment considers the legality and correctness of a course of action adopted by an authority, including a statutory body—Authorities are bound to consider the factors pointed by the Court while reconsidering the application - Thomas Mathai v. State Environmental Impact Assessment Authority (SEIAA) and others - I.L.R. 2025 Kerala OnLine 223: [Neutral Citation No.2025:KER:38052.](#)

Customs Broker Licensing Regulations, 2018—Regulation 17—Appellant cannot be heard to contend that he had a right to cross-examine even other persons such as Officers and staff of the Customs Department who did not have a role to play in the breach of obligations alleged to be due to the appellant—Regulation 17 (5) is to be treated as directory in the particular facts of the case, which provides for submission of enquiry report within 90 days from the date of issuance of notice under Regulation 17(1)—Contention of violation of principles of natural justice cannot be sustained, when appellant received both the show-cause notice and the enquiry report, and he was afforded an opportunity of filing representations against both—Relationship between Customs Department and Customs Broker appointed in terms of Regulations, is essentially one of trust—Administrative Law - M/s. Cappithan Agencies v. Commissioner of Customs - I.L.R. 2025 Kerala OnLine 222 : [Neutral Citation No. 2025:KER:41197.](#)

Education Rules, 1959 (Kerala)—Chapter XIVA, Rule 37(2)—Appointment to the post of Headmaster in Special Schools)—Appointments in Special Schools are governed by the special provisions of the Government Order GO(MS) No.198/79/G.EDN dated 22.11.1979, which require 10 years of teaching experience with the blind/deaf)—Seniority as High School Assistant is not relevant, as the post is not recognized as a feeder category—Where candidates entered service on the same date, seniority may be determined by age, following the spirit of Rule 37(2)—However, KER has no application where special rules govern the appointment - Soni Gabriel v. State of Kerala and others -I.L.R. 2025 Kerala OnLine 219 : [Neutral Citation No. 2025:KER:33538.](#)

Evidence Act, 1872 (Central Act 1 of 1872)—Section 27—A disclosure under S.27 is admissible only if it is clearly recorded by the investigating officer in the first person and in their own language, and limited to the part leading to discovery—If the accused does not understand the language used and no proper translation is proved, the disclosure is inadmissible - Biju Molla v. State of Kerala - I.L.R. 2025 Kerala OnLine 224 : [Neutral Citation No. 2025:KER:42853](#).

Evidence Act, 1872 (Central Act 1 of 1872)—Section 6—Res Gestae—Anything said or done by the accused, victim, or bystander during the commission of a crime, or immediately there after, falls within the scope of S.6—The term ‘transaction’ in S.6 should be interpreted broadly to include not just a single act, but the entire series of closely connected events that make up the incident - Biju Molla v. State of Kerala - I.L.R. 2025 Kerala OnLine 224 : [Neutral Citation No. 2025:KER:42853](#).

Kerala (Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes) Regulation of Issue of Community Certificates Act, 1996 (Kerala Act 11 of 1996)—Section 11—Benefits already availed and accrued while the caste certificate was valid cannot be withdrawn later—An employee who retired before the cancellation is entitled to full pension and retirement benefits, which cannot be forfeited retrospectively - Lalitha. P.K and others v. State of Kerala and others - I.L.R. 2025 Kerala OnLine 225 : [Neutral Citation No. 2025:KER:41997](#).

Pl. visit our website - www.ilrkerala.gov.in
