



## Indian Law Reports - Kerala Series

### Abridged Index

#### I.L.R. - Index of reported cases dt. 11.03.2026

**Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985 (Central Act 13 of 1985)**—Section 17—Tribunals cannot grant relief that goes beyond what was actually asked for in the original pleadings—Relief must be restricted to the ‘four corners’ of the Original Application— Merely on the basis of the respondent’s affidavit, Tribunal could not have directed implementation of the order, as such a direction was beyond the scope of its contempt jurisdiction - Vice Admiral V. Sameer Saxena v. Krishnan N.V. - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 71 : [Neutral Citation No.2026:KER:17069.](#)

**Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhitha, 2023 (Central Act 46 of 2023)**—Section 63—To return a complaint solely for want of a postal address is to subordinate substantive justice to procedural rigidity—Merely because the Criminal Rules of Practice do not contain any provisions for the issuance of summons through electronic communication, the same cannot be a reason to return a complaint for want of a postal address—Magistrate to issue process to the 3rd respondent/accused in the disclosed electronic communication address mentioned in the complaint—Electronic Processes (Issuance, Service and Execution) Rules, 2025 (Kerala) - Anagh v. State of Kerala and others - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 70 : [Neutral Citation No.2026:KER:16819.](#)

**Central Goods and Services Act, 2017 (Central Act 12 of 2017)**—Section 15 (2)—The value of supply by a contractor/service provider would not include the tax

payable under the CGST/SGST Act - Ganga Constructions v. Assistant Executive Engineer and others - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 66 : [Neutral Citation No.2026:KER:13044.](#)

**Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 (Central Act 5 of 1908)**—Section 152—Amendment of Judgment and decree—Where the objectionable portion of the order was not the result of any accidental slip or omission but was intentionally made for reasons recorded in the judgment, the order cannot be amended under Section 152—Such an order will have to be challenged before superior court - Venkata Ramana Bhat and others v. Anantha Bhat and others - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 68 : [Neutral Citation No. 2026:KER:9100.](#)

**Constitution of India**—Article 226—Existence of an alternative remedy does not bar the exercise of jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution of India in cases where there is a violation of the statutory requirements and the principles of natural justice—Court interfered with the punishment imposed since there was no enquiry conducted in tune with Rule 198 and no enquiry report was furnished to the employee— Disciplinary sub-committee could not have issued the order of punishment as the statutory rule empowers only the President to impose punishment, for the category of employees mentioned therein—Co-operative Societies Act, 1969 (Kerala Act 21 of 1969) – Section 69—Co- operative Societies Rules, 1969 (Kerala)—Rule 198 - Abraham E.A. v. Joint Registrar of Co- operative Societies (General) and others - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 65 : [Neutral Citation No. 2025:KER:63668.](#)

**Constitution of India**—Article 226—Supreme Court deprecates the ‘consider jurisprudence’ and holds it as counterproductive—When claim of a right is legal and justified, relief must follow—Balancing of equities is not to be confused with avoiding or postponing the relief - Mahendra Prasad Agarwal v. Arvind Kumar Singh and others - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 64 SC : [Neutral Citation No. 2026 INSC 175.](#)

**Constitution of India**—Article 229—The requirement of administrative necessity or functional requirement in redesignation of High Court staff is to be left to the decision of the High Court—The Executive is not empowered or expected to sit as an appellate or revisional authority over the decision of the High Court—The Rules framed by the Hon’ble Chief Justice should normally be accepted by the Government - Gireesh K. and others v. State of Kerala and another - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 69 : [Neutral Citation No.2025:KER:98978.](#)

**Contract Act, 1872 (Central Act 9 of 1872)**—Section 56—When the parties had contemplated the force majeure conditions and provided for its consequence, either of them cannot resort to Section 56 to contend that contract has been frustrated—

Commercial impossibility resulting from instances like variation in prices, do not fall within the scope of the doctrine of frustration - IMR Metallurgical Resources A.G. and another v. Hindustan Newsprint Ltd. and another - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 75 : [Neutral Citation No.2026:KER:13531](#).

**Co-operative Societies Rules, 1969 (Kerala)**—Rule 185—Second proviso to Rule 185(1) works in the zone of the feeder category and its immediate lower category and it does not admit any elasticity to stretch it to categories which are further down in the Feeder Category Rules—Single post of Assistant Secretary cannot be reserved for persons who crossed the age of 52 years, as it would amount to 100% reservation - Joint Registrar of Co-operative Societies (General) and others v. Jayakumar P. and others - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 73: [Neutral Citation No. 2026:KER:15963](#).

**Industrial Relations Code, 2020 (Central Act 35 of 2020)**—Section 103—Permitting the existing adjudicatory mechanisms and the statutory authorities to continue till the new adjudicatory mechanisms are put in place cannot be said to be an instance of sidestepping the provisions of 2020 Code or the removal of obstacles by the Government to get over the statutory restrictions—Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947)—Trade Unions Act, 1926 (Central Act 16 of 1926) - Suresh Kumar M.K. and another v. Union of India and others - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 72 : [Neutral Citation No.2026:KER:14042](#).

**Payment of Gratuity Act, 1972 (Central Act 39 of 1972)**—Section 4(6)— Gratuity of an employee can be forfeited only if an employee has been terminated from service, on the grounds stated therein—Gratuity cannot be withheld on the ground that surcharge proceedings are pending—Co-operative Societies Act, 1969 (Kerala Act 21 of 1969)— Section 68 - Pulpally Service Co-operative Bank and another v. Thomas P.U. and others - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 74 : [Neutral Citation No.2026:KER:16367](#).

**Practice and Procedure**—Imposition of Costs—Personal costs cannot ordinarily be imposed on a public officer without affording him an opportunity of hearing in his personal capacity, even where his statutory action is found to be perverse and in disregard of appellate directions—However, the court may record strong judicial disapproval of such conduct - District Collector and others v. Sujaya A.B. - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 67 : [Neutral Citation No.2026:KER:8013](#).

**Pl. Visit our site- [www.ilrkerala.gov.in](http://www.ilrkerala.gov.in)**

\*\*\*\*\*