



Indian Law Reports - Kerala Series

Abridged Index

ILR - Index of cases reported dt. 10.09.2025

Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 (Central Act 26 of 1996)—Section 11 (6)—Clause insisting pre-deposit for invoking arbitration proceedings is discriminatory, arbitrary and violative of Article 14 of the Constitution of India and is invalid—Constitution of India—Article 14 - Indo Thai Calicut Private Ltd. v. Airport Director, Airport Authority of India and another - I.L.R. 2025 Kerala OnLine 280 : [Neutral Citation No. 2025:KER:50181.](#)

Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 (Central Act 26 of 1996)—Section 11 (6)—Hierarchy of dispute resolution mechanism provided in the agreement cannot be ignored—Parties have to exhaust the dispute resolution mechanism provided in the agreement before approaching the Court - Indo Thai Calicut Private Ltd. v. Airport Director, Airport Authority of India and another - I.L.R. 2025 Kerala OnLine 280 : [Neutral Citation No. 2025:KER:50181.](#)

Buildings (Lease and Rent Control) Act, 1965 (Kerala Act 2 of 1965)—Section 12(1)—Court should not pass any order under Section 12 which will foreclose the tenant's right to have an issue adjudicated - Unnikrishnan P.N. v. John Victor K.X. and others - I.L.R. 2025 Kerala OnLine 283 : [Neutral Citation No. 2025:KER:64313.](#)

Buildings (Lease and Rent Control) Act, 1965 (Kerala Act 2 of 1965) – Section

12—The determination of liability is to be made at the first stage under Section 12(1), and the second stage under Section 12(3) is confined to examining whether the tenant has shown justifiable reasons for non-compliance with the order of deposit - The only consequence of a sufficient cause being established is the deferment of the order, not the denial of liability - Unnikrishnan P.N. v. John Victor K.X. and others - I.L.R. 2025 Kerala OnLine 283 : [Neutral Citation No. 2025:KER:64313](#).

Buildings (Lease and Rent Control) Act, 1965 (Kerala Act 2 of 1965) – Section 12—Principle of suspension of rent—It is applied where the landlord unlawfully evicts the tenant from the premises, either wholly or substantially—In such a case, the tenant's obligation to pay rent is suspended for that period, since rent is payable only in consideration of possession and enjoyment - Unnikrishnan P.N. v. John Victor K.X. and others - I.L.R. 2025 Kerala OnLine 283 : [Neutral Citation No. 2025:KER:64313](#).

Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (Central Act 2 of 1974)—Sections 190 and 203—Where objections to a closure (refer) report are filed in the form of a complaint furnishing details of the offence and particulars of witnesses, the Magistrate can treat it as a private complaint under S.190 and must proceed under Ch. XV Cr.P.C.—In such a case, the Magistrate cannot dismiss the complaint solely on the basis of the Investigating Officer's conclusions - Gopinathan Nair v. Mohammed Salah and another - I.L.R. 2025 Kerala OnLine 284 : [Neutral Citation No. 2025:KER:62555](#).

Constitution of India—Article 215—Delivering Judgments—If the judgment of High Court is not delivered within three months of completion of hearing, the Registrar General shall place the matters before the Chief Justice for orders and the Chief Justice shall bring it to the notice of the concerned Bench for pronouncing the order within two weeks thereafter, failing which the matter be assigned to another Bench - Ravindra Pratap Shahi v. State of U.P and others - I.L.R. 2025 Kerala OnLine 281 S.C. : [Neutral Citation No. 2025 INSC 1039](#).

Evidence Act, 1872 (Central Act 1 of 1872)—Presumption regarding mental capacity—Burden of proof—Law presumes every person to be of sound mind—Party alleging mental incapacity has the duty to establish it—Once such incapacity is proved, the evidentiary burden shifts to the party who contends that the disputed document was executed at a lucid interval when the person was mentally sound—Section 15—Contract Act ,1872 (Central Act 9 of 1872) - Jayachandran v. Vijayaleskhmi Amma R. and another - I.L.R. 2025 Kerala OnLine 279 : [Neutral Citation No. 2025:KER:59104](#).

Forest Act, 1961 (Kerala Act 4 of 1962)—Section 52—Seizure of vehicle—Seizure of a vehicle, following seizure of forest produce, must be effected within a reasonable

period and there must exist a reasonable nexus between the vehicle and the produce seized—There is no conflict between Divisional Forest Officer v. Amina (1999 (1) K.L.J. 433) and DFO, Kothamangalam v. Sunny Joseph (2002 (3) K.L.T. 641); The latter only elaborates the former - Noushad. A.M. v. State of Kerala and another - I.L.R. 2025 Kerala OnLine 282 : [Neutral Citation No. 2025:KER:62045](#).

Kerala University Act, 1974 (Kerala Act 17 of 1974)—Section 23— Approval of Appointment of teachers—Circumstances where sanction of Government is required for approval of appointments in private aided colleges after 2005, laid down—In respect of posts that do not exceed the strength of created posts in 2005, the University is competent to approve appointments without any further sanction from the Government —If the post sought to be approved would result in an excess over the posts sanctioned as of 2005, the University cannot approve unless new posts are created by the Government—Mahatma Gandhi University Act, 1985 (Kerala Act 12 of 1985)—Section 23—Mahatma Gandhi University Statutes, 1997— Clause 16—Kerala University (Conditions of Service of Teachers and Members of Non-Teaching Staff) First Statutes, 1979— Clause 14 - State of Kerala and others v. Anas N. and others - I.L.R. 2025 Kerala OnLine 285 (F.B.) : [Neutral CitationNo 2025:KER:65830](#).

Sexual Harassment of Women at Workplace (Prevention, Prohibition and Redressal) Act, 2013 (Central Act 14 of 2013)—Sections 2,3 and 9— Sexual harassment includes not only direct advances but also conduct that leverages power or workplace dynamics to control, influence, or intimidate a woman, provided such conduct occurs in relation to or is connected with any act or behaviour of sexual harassment—Oral statement made before the Committee, cannot be accepted as a substitute for the written complaint under Section 9—Recording of statements of witnesses over telephone without granting opportunity to cross-examine the witnesses or to challenge their statements, is a violation of principles of natural justice—Order issued by the Local Committee, in violation of principles of natural justice, is unsustainable— Instances when writ petition is maintainable even where there is an effective and efficacious alternative remedy is available, reiterated— Constitution of India—Article 226—Sexual Harassment of Women at Workplace Rules, 2013(Central Rules)—Rules 6 and 7 - XXXXXX v. Abraham Mathai and others - I.L.R. 2025 Kerala OnLine 286 : [Neutral Citation No. 2025:KER:57427](#).

Specific Relief Act, 1963 (Central Act 47 of 1963)—Section 34—Declaratory relief without recovery of possession—A mere declaration of title cannot be granted where the plaintiff, being out of possession, omits to seek the further relief of recovery of possession—In such cases, the suit for declaration alone is not maintainable and the Court lacks power to grant even the declaratory relief - Jayachandran v. Vijayaleskhmi Amma R. and another - I.L.R. 2025 Kerala OnLine 279 : [Neutral Citation No. 2025:KER:59104](#).
