



Indian Law Reports - Kerala Series

Abridged Index

I.L.R. Index of reported cases dt. 12.03.25

Central Goods and Services Tax Act, 2017 (Central Act 12 of 2017)—Section 74—Consolidated show-cause notices covering multiple financial/assessment years can be issued only in circumstances where the statutory provision provides for a common period for initiation and completion of the adjudication—It is impermissible for the Department to curtail the statutory period granted to an assessee to effectively contest a show cause notice by issuing a consolidated notice that improperly includes a financial/assessment year where the time to pass a final order has lapsed—Consolidated notice would also result in a consolidated adjudication order covering several financial/assessment years and in the event of it being adverse to the assessee, the fee/pre-deposit required to be paid by an assessee for preferring a statutory appeal would also be higher, which could not have been the scheme of the statutory provisions— Customs Act, 1962 (Central Act 52 of 1962)—Section 28—Central Excise Act, 1944 (Central Act 1 of 1944) —Section 11A - Joint Commissioner (Intelligence & Enforcement) and another v. M/s Lakshmi Mobile Accessories - I.L.R. 2025 Kerala OnLine 86 : [Neutral Citation No. 2025:KER:9253](#).

Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (Central Act 2 of 1974)—Sections 397 and 401—Powers of Revisional Court explained—Unless the order passed by the Magistrate is perverse or the view taken by the Court is wholly unreasonable, or there is non-consideration of any relevant material, or there is palpable misreading of records, the Revisional Court is not justified in setting aside the order, merely because another view is possible - Sudheer D. v. Anusha R. Nair and another - I.L.R.

2025 Kerala OnLine 88 : [Neutral Citation No. 2025:KER:1842.](#)

Constitution of India—Article 136—While the Supreme Court ordinarily does not re-appreciate evidence in an appeal under Article 136, it may examine the record to determine whether Tribunal or High Court findings are perverse, contain errors apparent on their face, or are manifestly wrong—A witness in a motor accident case who is otherwise found trustworthy cannot be disbelieved only on the ground that the police have not recorded his statement during investigation—In claim cases, arising out of motor accidents, the Court has to apply the principles of preponderance of probability and cannot apply the test of proof beyond reasonable doubt—Motor Vehicles Act, 1988 (Central Act 59 of 1988)- Section 166 — Sajeena Ikbal and others v. Mini Babu George and others - I.L.R. 2025 Kerala OnLine 85 SC : [Neutral Citation No. 2024 INSC 787.](#)

Constitution of India—Article 320—Autonomy of Public Service Commission is confined to the conduct of selection process—Determination of the number of vacancies and requisition for employees remain the prerogative of the State Government, which is the employer—When Government identifies need for additional employees and directs the Public Service Commission to expand rank list to accommodate these vacancies, the refusal of Public Service Commission amounts to an overreach of its role—While autonomy of Public Service Commission remains vital, it must be exercised within the confines of its role as a facilitator of recruitment and not as an arbiter of administrative policy—Denying the candidates the opportunity to be considered for unfilled vacancies disregards their merit and affects the integrity of the selection process— Penalising candidates for factors beyond their control would not only violate the principle of fairness but also undermine the very objective of transparent and merit-based public recruitment—Court granted benefit of judgement to all candidates who have rightfully and meritoriously appeared in the rank list, having qualified in the selection process—Service - Ajith G. Das and others v. State of Kerala and others - I.L.R. 2025 Kerala OnLine 80 SC : [Neutral Citation No.2024 INSC 1037.](#)

Family Law—There is always a presumption of marriage when long cohabitation is pleaded and acknowledged - Yogidas @ Jose Jacob v. Ruby Daniel @ Ruby Das - I.L.R. 2025 Kerala OnLine 84 : [Neutral Citation No. 2025:KER:12716.](#)

Marumakkathayam Law—Right of Marumakkathayee female on partition—If at the time of partition the female is single, she continues to hold the property as her own and not as Tharwad property, even if she has children in the future—The minority view of the Full Bench of the Kerala High Court in Mary Cherian and Anr. v. Bhargavi Pillai Bhasura Devi and Anr. upheld as the correct law—The pronouncement of law will apply prospectively - Ramachandran and others v. Vijayan and others - I.L.R.

2025 Kerala OnLine 87 SC : [Neutral Citation No.2024 INSC 885.](#)

Minimum Wages Act, 1948 (Central Act 11 of 1948)—Sections 5 and 27— Any minimum wage notification intended to apply to Employment in Shops and Establishments cannot be applied to Employment in Banks - Kerala State Co-operative Bank Ltd. v. Deputy Labour Commissioner and others - I.L.R. 2025 Kerala OnLine 83 : [Neutral Citation No. 2025:KER:7665.](#)

Practise and procedure—For making the right or remedy claimed by the party just and meaningful as also legally and factually in accord with the current realities, the Court can/must take cautious cognisance of events and developments subsequent to the institution of the proceedings, provided the rules of fairness to both sides are scrupulously obeyed - Sudheer D. v. Anusha R. Nair and another - I.L.R. 2025 Kerala OnLine 88 : [Neutral Citation No. 2025:KER:1842.](#)

Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act, 2005 (Central Act 43 of 2005)—Sections 18, 19 and 23—Proceedings under the statute are of a summary and civil nature—Standard of proof is preponderance of probabilities—Satisfaction contemplated in Section 19 for passing residence order is not satisfaction beyond reasonable doubt - Sudheer D. v. Anusha R. Nair and another - I.L.R. 2025 Kerala OnLine 88 : [Neutral Citation No. 2025:KER:1842.](#)

Public Service Commission Rules of Procedure, 1976 (Kerala)—Rule 12A— List-I contains candidates with higher qualifications while List-II contains candidates with less qualifications— If List I lacks sufficient reserved category candidates, List II candidates can be considered - Kerala Public Service Commission and others v. Bhuvana C.M. and others - I.L.R. 2025 Kerala OnLine 81 : [Neutral Citation No. 2025:KER:11878.](#)

Rules of the High Court of Kerala, 1971—Rule 159—Differentiation between Writ petition (Civil) and Writ petition (Criminal)—If a writ petition or application under Articles 226 and/or 227 of the Constitution of India concerns a legal proceeding that could ultimately lead to a sentence of death, imprisonment, a fine, or the forfeiture of property, it would be a “criminal writ petition”—Criminal writ petitions also include those that arise from orders related to maintaining peace and order, preventing breaches of peace, or addressing vagrancy - Constitution of India— Articles 226 and 227 - Prakash N. v. Manoj Kumar and others - I.L.R. 2025 Kerala OnLine 82 : Neutral Citation No.2025:IO:KER:4.

Please visit our website - www.ilrkerala.gov.in
