

## **Abridged Index**

## I.L.R. Index of reported decisions dt. 12.11.2025

Administrative Law—Violation of a mandatory provision of law relating to fair hearing is in itself prejudicial to the person proceeded against and there is no need to demonstrate that there would be prejudice—It would not commend acceptance that though the Inquiry Officer acted in derogation of the regulations, his action must be upheld on the specious ground that the appellant has failed to demonstrate prejudice—Delinquent cannot be denied any material that the disciplinary authority looks into for imposing punishment—Such officer is entitled to access any document that was either used to determine his blameworthy conduct amounting to misconduct or considered while imposing punishment—Claim of privilege cannot be invoked as a matter of reflexive recourse but must be limited to instances wherein an actual concern to public interest is envisaged, where access to a document is denied—Principles with respect to preliminary inquiry summarised—Service—Vijaya Bank Officer Employees' (Discipline and Appeal) Regulations, 1981 - Prabhakar Hegde K. v. Bank of Baroda - ILR 2025 Kerala OnLine 343 (SC): Neutral Citation No.2025 INSC 997.

Income Tax Act, 1961 (Central Act 43 of 1961)—Section 194A (3)—Challenge against constitutional validity of proviso to Section 194A (3) rejected—Difference in income or quantum of amount involved, itself is the classification that determines liability of tax or the amount to be paid as tax, and the classifications based on income, form the basic structure of the Income Tax Act—In the absence of any prohibition upon the legislature, a proviso that was intentionally brought by the

legislature to make substantial changes in the main section, cannot be interfered with, merely because of the reason that, it is a proviso—Even if a statutory provision causes hardship to some people, it is not for the Court to amend the law—'Dura lex sed lex'—Constitution of India—Article 14 - Vellangallur Peoples Welfare Co-Operative Society Ltd. No.R-1191 v. Union of India and others - I.L.R. 2025 Kerala OnLine 342: Neutral Citation No. 2025:KER:79482.

Income Tax Act, 1961 (Central Act 43 of 1961)—Sections 80 AC and 80P —Since benefit under Section 80P is not an exemption from paying tax, but a benefit of deduction subject to compliance of the terms and conditions including filing of return, it cannot be held that, there is no tax liability at all, for co-operative societies - Vellangallur Peoples Welfare Co-Operative Society Ltd. No.R-1191 v. Union of India and others - I.L.R. 2025 Kerala OnLine 342: Neutral Citation No. 2025:KER:79482.

Motor Vehicles Act, 1988 (Central Act 59 of 1988)—Section 157—Personal Accident Cover—It is a compulsory coverage for the owner-Driver and travels with the policy—Benefit of the cover cannot be denied on the ground that there is no endorsement of the policy in favour of the transferee, within the 14 day statutory period - Section 50(1)(a)(i) - Joseph N.J. v. National Insurance Company and another - I.L.R. 2025 Kerala OnLine 341: Neutral Citation No. 2025:KER:80541.

Motor Vehicles Act, 1988 (Central Act 59 of 1988)—Section 50—Transfer application filed immediately on purchase of vehicle but allowed later—The transfer application must be treated as having been allowed with effect from the date of its filing, applying the Doctrine of Relation Back/date-back—As the subject matter of the insurance contract is the vehicle itself, once ownership is transferred, the indemnity under the policy necessarily follows the vehicle - Joseph N.J. v. National Insurance Company and another - I.L.R. 2025 Kerala OnLine 341: Neutral Citation No. 2025:KER:80541.

**Penal Code, 1860 (Central Act 45 of 1860)**—Section 306—Abetment of suicide—In order to satisfy the requirement of instigation, it is not necessary that the words spoken must be capable of compelling the victims to commit suicide—It would suffice, if the instigation is suggestive of the consequence - Sivadasan Nair K.G. v. State of Kerala - I.L.R. 2025 Kerala OnLine 340 : Neutral Citation No.2025:KER:76800.

Securitisation and Reconstruction of Financial Assets and Enforcement of Security Interest Act, 2002 (Central Act 54 of 2002)—Section 18(1)—For the purpose of the mandatory pre-deposit under the proviso to Section 18(1), the expression "debt due" includes not only the amount specified in the notice issued under Section 13(2), but also the interest accrued thereon up to the date of filing the

appeal before the Appellate Tribunal - Mini Zakir v. M/s. Phoenix Arc Private Limited and others - I.L.R. 2025 Kerala OnLine 339 : Neutral Citation No.2025 : KER : 79251.

\*\*\*\*