



Indian Law Reports - Kerala Series

Abridged Index

I.L.R. - Index of reported cases dt. 15.04.2026

Contract Act, 1872 (Central Act 9 of 1872)—Section 124—Insurance—Legal principles summarised with respect to interpretation of insurance policy—Ambiguous terms in policy are to be construed harmoniously, by reading the contract in full—‘Contra proferentem’ is to be applied only in case of ambiguity—In construing the terms, equity or liberal attitude is not permitted—Coverage provisions to be interpreted broadly and exclusion clauses to be read narrowly—Factors to apply ‘unities doctrine’ discussed—In the absence of any evidence adduced by the plaintiff to substantiate the factual basis, ‘Blue Pencil Rule’ cannot be applied to the facts of the case—An ‘excess’, which is the proportion of the loss that the insured has to bear, could not be strictly said to be a repudiation, under which the very liability is disputed - New India Assurance Co. Ltd. and others v. Federal Bank Ltd. - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 111 : [Neutral Citation No.2026:KER:17903](#).

Panchayat Raj Act, 1994 (Kerala Act 13 of 1994)—Section 153(14)—If no ‘dispute arises’, jurisdiction under Article 226 can be invoked to interfere with an election to the post of President or Vice-President—Once the election is over, the Election Commission is functus officio—Panchayat Raj (Election of President and Vice President) Rules, 1995 (Kerala)—Rule 9—Constitution of India—Articles 226 and 243K - Harikumar K.K. v. State Election Commission and others - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 110 : [Neutral Citation No. 2026:KER:17500](#).

Petroleum Rules, 2002 (Central)—Rule 144—Starting Petroleum Retail Outlet-Non-

binding nature of Indian Road Congress Guidelines—Difference between residential area and existence of a few houses - Rajesh K. v. Additional District Magistrate, Kannur and others - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 113 : [Neutral Citation No.2026:KER:26620.](#)

Public Ways (Restriction of Assemblies and Procession) Act, 2011 (Kerala Act 9 of 2011)—General directions issued with regard to crowd management in connection with the festivals of the temples, churches and mosques in Kerala—Constitution of India—Article 226 - Udayan A.M. v. State of Kerala and others - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 114 : [Neutral Citation No. 2026:KER:17163.](#)

Representation of The People Act, 1951 (Central Act 43 of 1951)—Section 81(3) & 86—Procedure & Maintainability—Mere absence of term “True Copy” would not invalidate the election petition—Requirement under S.81(3) of attestation is of substance and not of form—Substantial compliance is sufficient - Suresh Gopi v. Binoy A.S. - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 115.

Representation of The People Act, 1951 (Central Act 43 of 1951)—Section 83(1)—Pleadings—What are the material facts—Full particulars—‘Particulars’ serve the purpose of finishing touches to the basic contours of a picture already drawn, to make it full, more detailed and more informative - Suresh Gopi v. Binoy A.S. - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 115.

Representation of The People Act, 1951 (Central Act 43 of 1951)—Section 123(1)(A)(b)—Pleadings—Corrupt practices—Gift, offer or promise—Ingredients discussed—To attract Section 123 (A) (b), it is not at all necessary that the gift, offer or promise of any gratification should be made to the elector himself—Any gift, offer or promise by a candidate or his agent or by any other person with the consent of a candidate or his election agent of any gratification, to any person whomsoever with the object of directly or indirectly of inducing an elector to vote or refrain from voting at an election, is bribery as defined under Section 123 (A) (b) - Suresh Gopi v. Binoy A.S. - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 115.

Representation of The People Act, 1951 (Central Act 43 of 1951)—Section 123(3)—Pleadings—Corrupt practices—Vote or refrain from voting for any person on the ground of his religion, race, caste, community or language—Ingredients discussed - Suresh Gopi v. Binoy A.S. - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 115.

Service—Judicial review—Doctrine of proportionality—If an action taken by the authorities is contrary to law, improper, irrational, or otherwise unreasonable, a court of law can interfere by exercising judicial review, and also by exercising the doctrine

of proportionality—Proportionality is a principle where the court is concerned with the process, method or manner in which the decision-maker has ordered his priorities, reached a conclusion or arrived at a decision - Sudeep K.T. v. Malabar Cancer Centre and others - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 112 : [Neutral Citation No. 2026:KER:21664.](#)
