



Indian Law Reports - Kerala Series

Abridged Index

I.L.R. – Index of reported cases dt. 16.09.2026

Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 (Central Act 26 of 1996)—Section 11—Appointment of Arbitrator—If the parties chose to reduce their bargain in writing and agreed on a particular person as arbitrator, then his appointment cannot be termed as unilateral and can only be construed as one by consent - Hedge Finance Ltd. v. Sangeetha A.V. - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 286 : [Neutral Citation No.2026:KER:62401.](#)

Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 (Central Act 26 of 1996)—Section 12(5)—If both parties nominate an Arbitrator before or after the dispute arises, such nomination will not make the Arbitrator ineligible—The principles governing the unilateral appointment will not affect an agreement where the parties themselves have nominated the arbitrator - Hedge Finance Ltd. v. Sangeetha A.V. - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 286 : [Neutral Citation No.2026:KER:62401.](#)

Central Goods and Services Tax Act, 2017 (Central Act 12 of 2017)—Section 9(3), 2(93), 16(2)(a) and Section 31(3)(f)—A conjoint reading of Sections 16(2), 31(3)(f) read with Rule 36 of the CGST Rules is that, when a person liable to pay tax under Section 9(3) raises an invoice based on reverse charge basis, such invoice can form the basis of input tax credit—Liability to pay the tax on reverse charge basis is on the recipient of goods or services or both—Central Goods and Services Tax Rules, 2017 (Central)—Rule 36 - M/s. Intertek India Pvt. Ltd. v. Assistant

Commissioner of Central Taxes and Central Excise - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 282: [Neutral Citation No.2025:KER:99004](#).

Central Goods and Services Tax Act, 2017 (Central Act 12 of 2017)—Sections 20 and 24(viii)—Nothing in the said unamended provision indicates that the distribution of Input tax credit has to be done only through an ISD (Input Service Distributor) registered under the Act—When a company is distributing the ITC among its other units in the course of business, it need not be treated as an ISD which requires mandatory registration - M/s. Intertek India Pvt. Ltd. v. Assistant Commissioner of Central Taxes and Central Excise - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 282: [Neutral Citation No.2025:KER:99004](#).

Constitution of India—Article 226—Bank Guarantee—Exceptional circumstances where Court may refuse encashment of a bank guarantee or a performance guarantee; Discussed—As a matter of rule, the bank would be under obligation to encash the bank guarantee, once it is invoked in its terms - M/s. Sree Saravana Engineering Bhavani Pvt. Ltd. v. State of Kerala and others - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 288 : [Neutral Citation No.2026:KER:61320](#).

Constitution of India—Articles 226 and 227—Objection to maintainability of writ petition on ground of alternative remedy under Section 30 of the RDB Act and that remedy, if any, lay under Article 227—The impugned order being palpably erroneous and contrary to law, writ court's discretion under Article 226 rightly exercised to lay down the law to be followed by the Tribunal in similar situations—Discretion under Article 226 cannot be refused merely because the Tribunal's order could also be challenged under Article 227 - Firoz E.T. v. Recovery Officer and others - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 289 : [Neutral Citation No.2026:KER:66167](#).

Employees Compensation Act, 1923 (Central Act 8 of 1923)—Section 4(2A)—Production of duplicate bills along with an affidavit stating that originals are lost, while seeking reimbursement of medical expenses does not satisfy the requirement of the proviso to Rule 3A(2)—Rule 3A(2) is not repugnant to Section 4(2A) of the Act stipulating that the employee shall be reimbursed actual medical expenses—Rule 3A(2) furthers the objective of Section 4(2A) by preventing multiple claims and does not stand in the way of granting reimbursement for genuine claims—Employees Compensation Rules, 1958 (Kerala)—Rule 3A(2) - Anoop Jayakumar v. Anil Kumar and another - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 283 : [Neutral Citation No. 2026:KER:62852](#).

Goods and Services Tax Rules, 2017 (Central)—Rule 21—Show cause notice issued under Rule 21 should contain all the details contemplated by GST REG-17—Without a show cause notice in requisite form with all details, registration under

CGST/SGST cannot be cancelled - Dominic David v. State Tax Officer and others - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 285 : [Neutral Citation No.2022:KER:75705](#).

High Court Act, 1958 (Kerala Act 5 of 1959)—Section 5—Powers of the Division bench—A Division Bench, while considering an intra-court Appeal under Section 5 does not normally remand a matter for fresh consideration by the Single Judge - This is on account of the fact that the authority exercised by the Division Bench while hearing a Writ Appeal and the authority exercised by the Single Judge are under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, and a Single Judge is not a Court subordinate to the Division Bench—Constitution of India—Article 226 - Bindhu I. v. Thiruvananthapuram Service Co-Operative Bank Limited and another - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 292 : [Neutral Citation No.2026:KER:57991](#).

Immoral Traffic (Prevention) Act, 1956 (Central Act 104 of 1956)—Sections 5 and 7—The customer, by voluntarily entering the brothel and availing the services of a sex worker for consideration, becomes an active participant in the commercial transaction—The commercial exploitation sought to be prohibited by the Act is therefore sustained not merely by the brothel keeper but also by those who knowingly patronise it—Customer is liable to be prosecuted - Noushad v. State of Kerala - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 290.

Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947)—Sections 2A(2), 17 and 17A—If there are valid reasons, Labour Court/Tribunal could extend the time for completing disciplinary proceedings even after award becomes enforceable 30 days after publication—Sufficiency of the reasons weighing with a statutory authority in exercising a power within its jurisdiction is not a matter for assessment by the High Court in exercise of Writ jurisdiction - Constitution of India—Article 226 - Bindhu I. v. Thiruvananthapuram Service Co-Operative Bank Limited and another - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 292 : [Neutral Citation No.2026:KER:57991](#).

Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act, 2005 (Central Act 43 of 2005)—Section 12—Mediation Settlement Agreement—Once the parties have entered into settlement agreeing that all their claims have been settled and filed petition for mutual divorce, one of the parties cannot initiate proceedings under the Domestic violence Act for a pre-settlement incident - Reji Baby v. Subi Mary and others - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 284 (S.C.) : [Neutral Citation No.2026 INSC 918](#).

Recovery of Debts and Bankruptcy Act, 1993 (Central Act 51 of 1993)—Sections 28 (4) and 30—Attachment by Recovery Officer of statutory pre-deposit made under second proviso to Section 18 of the SARFAESI Act, 2002 while preferring appeal against order of DRT—Section 28 (4) is in the nature of a garnishee proceeding and does not contemplate attachment of a statutory pre-deposit made under the second

proviso to Section 18 of the SARFAESI Act—Securitisation and Reconstruction of Financial Assets and Enforcement of Security Interest Act, 2002 (Central Act 54 of 2002)—Section 18, 2nd proviso - Firoz E.T. v. Recovery Officer and others - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 289 : [Neutral Citation No.2026:KER:66167](#).

Securitisation and Reconstruction of Financial Assets and Enforcement of Security Interest Act, 2002 (Central Act 54 of 2002)—Sections 13(2), 13(3A), 13(4), 14, and 17—Constructive Res Judicata—“Henderson Principle”—Maintainability of Subsequent Writ Petition—Failure to raise MSME Framework benefits in an earlier writ petition challenging SARFAESI recovery proceedings bars a subsequent writ petition on the issuance of an auction notice—Litigants must bring forward their entire case; auction notice being a mere sequel to recovery measures does not provide a fresh cause of action to reopen concluded issues—Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises Development Act, 2006 (Central Act 27 of 2006)—Section 9—Notification No.S.O.1432(E) dated 29.05.2015 (MSME Framework) - M/s.Soubhagye Road Builders and others v. Reserve Bank of India and others - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 291 : [Neutral Citation No.2026:KER:66606](#).

Wakf Act, 1995 (Central Act 43 of 1995)—Section 3(ee)—Encroacher—Lessee in occupation of the leased premises after expiry of lease period is an encroacher and is liable to pay double the rent, for the period of unauthorised occupation—Wakf Properties Lease Rules, 2014 (Central)—Rule 12 - Shareef C.P. v. Haidarali P and others - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 287 : [Neutral Citation No.2026:KER:61503](#).

Wakf Properties Lease Rules, 2014 (Central)—Rules 8 and 12—Security deposit—Security deposit is refundable only within one month of handing over vacant possession in good condition, after adjustment of dues or damages caused to the premises, and cannot be claimed by the lessee for adjustment towards his liability for double the lease rent under Rule 12(3) —State Wakf Rules, 2019 (Kerala)—Rule 137 - Shareef C.P. v. Haidarali P and others - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 287 : [Neutral Citation No.2026:KER:61503](#).

Pl. visit our website- www.ilrkerala.gov.in
