



Indian Law Reports - Kerala Series

Abridged Index

ILR - Index of reported cases dt. 17.06.2026

Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (Central Act 2 of 1974)—Section 222—‘Minor offence’—offence can be treated as a minor offence only when (1) the punishment prescribed for the said offence is lesser than that prescribed for major offence and (2) the ingredients constituting the minor offence are inherently included in, and form part of, the major offence charged—Section 324 IPC cannot be considered to be the minor offence of Section 332 IPC—Ingredients necessary to constitute an offence under Section 324 are not the same as the ingredients of Section 332—Moreover, both offences prescribe same maximum punishment—If the prosecution fails to establish all the ingredients necessary to attract Section 332 IPC, the accused may still be convicted under Section 323 IPC although there is no specific charge for the offence punishable under Section 323 IPC—Penal Code, 1860 (Central Act 45 of 1860) —Sections 324 and 332 - Babu Avarachan v. State of Kerala - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 182 : [Neutral Citation No.2026:KER:35293](#).

Constitution of India—Article 226—Custody of minor child—Direction to Family Courts-Guidelines (for child access, child custody along with parental plan) approved by the Calcutta High Court on 23rd September, 2025 in W.P.A (P) No.257 of 2021 (Ayushman Initiative for Child Rights) and W.P.A.(P) No.166 of 2022 (Antara) may for the time being be followed by the Family Courts in Kerala till the committee constituted to consider the said plan has finally placed its recommendations - Hayarunisa Abdul Hakkim v. Director General of Police and others - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 191 : [Neutral Citation No. 2026:KER:37511](#).

Education Act, 1958 (Kerala Act 6 of 1959)—State and Subordinate Services Rules (Kerala)—Principals of aided Higher Secondary Schools are also entitled to exemption from test qualifications upon attaining the age of 50 years, as is the case with their counterparts in Government service—No distinction can be drawn with respect to the object of appointment of teachers in these two sectors, i.e. Higher Secondary Schools in both the aided and Government sectors—The Government Order cannot be treated as having only prospective effect, as it is a settled principle that an order that is curative or clarificatory of the existing law can operate retrospectively - Annamma Jacob v. Secretary to Government and others - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 184 : [Neutral Citation No.2026:KER:36150](#).

Family Courts Act, 1984 (Central Act 66 of 1984)—Petition to set aside exparte decree in matrimonial case—Great care and caution has to be exercised while condoning the delay in filing the application for setting aside ex parte decrees after the appeal period as it has the effect of affecting the rights of third parties—Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 (Central Act 5 of 1908)—Order IX Rule 13—Limitation Act, 1963 (Central Act 36 of 1963)—Section 5 - Sujithra P.A. v. Anishkumar T.R. - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 189 : [Neutral Citation No.2026:KER:37012](#).

Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 (Central Act 61 of 1985)—Sections 21(b) and 50—Section 50 is applicable only to search in relation to a person and not to a search of premises, vehicles or articles - Bichathu v. State of Kerala - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 186 : [Neutral Citation No.2026:KER:39133](#).

Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985—Section 21(b)—Possession of a contraband substance, prohibited under the NDPS Act, in contravention of any provision of the NDPS Act or any rule or order made or conditions of license granted thereunder, is an offence under Section 21—When the quantity of the contraband is lesser than the commercial quantity but greater than the smaller quantity, the offence would fall within the ambit of Section 21 (b) - Bichathu v. State of Kerala - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 186 : [Neutral Citation No.2026:KER:39133](#).

National Trust for the Welfare of Persons with Autism, Cerebral Palsy, Mental Retardation and Multiple Disabilities Act, 1999 (Central Act 44 of 1999)—Sections 2(g), 2(h), 2(j), 2(o) and 14—Down Syndrome is an intellectual disability falling squarely within the ambit of “mental retardation” under Section 2(g)—The statutory scheme envisages scrutiny of application, collection of materials, assessment of whether the person in need of care requires a guardian and parties must be given an opportunity to be heard—National Trust for the Welfare of Persons with Autism, Cerebral Palsy, Mental Retardation and Multiple Disabilities Rules, 2000

(Central)—Rule 16—Board of Trust Regulations, 2001— Regulations 11, 12 and 13 - Satheesh Kumar V.K. v. District Collector and others - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 185 : [Neutral Citation No.2026:KER:37272](#).

Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881 (Central Act 26 of 1881)—Section 138, Proviso (b) and (c)—Demand notice—Essentials of—Specific mention of amount demanded is a mandatory requirement—A notice which omits to state the amount demanded is incomplete and does not constitute a valid legal notice under proviso (b) in the eye of law—Offence under S. 138 is a deemed offence, complete only upon fulfilment of five stages—In the absence of a valid demand notice, the deemed offence is not completed and no conviction can follow - Rajesh K. v. Ashokan P.K. and another - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 187 : [Neutral Citation No.2026:KER:36763](#).

Passports Rules, 1980 (Central)—Re-issuance of passport—Muslim Personal Law—Mubarat Nama—When it is settled law that Mubarat is a recognised mode of divorce, the authorities under the Passports Act cannot refuse to accept a Mubarat Nama as proof of dissolution of marriage and insist on production of a decree of divorce—It is well settled that executive instructions or office memorandums cannot override, amend, or supersede statutory rules—Documentary proof of divorce need not necessarily be a decree of divorce from a competent court, it can be documentary proof of any mode of divorce recognized in accordance with Muslim Personal Law - Ayshath Bunayath and another v. Union of India and others - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 183 [Neutral Citation No.2026:KER:37144](#).

Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988 (Central Act 49 of 1988)—Section 17A—Prior approval under Section 17A is required only where the alleged offence is relatable to (1) any recommendation made or (2) any decision taken, by the public servant in discharge of official functions or duties—In all other cases, prior approval is not required—Misappropriation of funds through sanction of fake and benami loans, forgery of signatures and creation of forged documents does not constitute an offence relatable to a recommendation or decision taken in discharge of official functions—Prior approval is not required to register FIR and conduct investigation into such allegations—Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (Central Act 46 of 2023)—Section 528 - Mini M. v. State of Kerala and another - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 188 : [Neutral Citation No.2026:KER:36757](#).

Right to information Act, 2005 (Central Act 22 of 2005)—Sections 2(f) 8(1)(j), 11 and 20(1)—Personal information is identified as such information which, if disclosed, would lead to unwarranted invasion of privacy, as it does not have any correlation with public information—Factors to be considered before coming to a conclusion that the information sought is exempted, explained—‘Doctrine of candour’ recognises the need for protecting a certain class of documents as they concern decision-making at

the highest level of government, and only complete freedom from public gaze will enable freedom of expression and candour amongst government functionaries—Proviso of Section 8(1)(j) does not confer an unrestricted right to seek every information, nor mandate disclosure in all cases, as such interpretation would render protections and exemptions contemplated under Section 8 and 11 of the Act otiose—Penal consequences can arise only upon a clear finding of malafide denial, unreasonable refusal or deliberate obstruction in furnishing information - State Public Information Officer and Under Secretary and others v. Kerala State Information Commission and another - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 190 : [Neutral Citation No.2026:KER:34827](#).

Guidelines (for child access, child custody along with parental plan) approved by the Hon'ble High Court of Calcutta has been published on our web site.

Pl. Visit our website - www.ilrkerala.org.in
