

Abridged Index

I.L.R. - Index of reported cases dt. 19.11.2025

Building Tax Act, 1975 (Kerala Act 7 of 1975) — Section 2—The owner at the time of completion of the building is liable to pay the tax—Collection of funds from various individuals and issuing allotment letters to them will not make the allottees owners of the building who are liable to pay building tax - Federal House Construction Co-Operative Society Ltd. v. Tahsildar and others - I.L.R. 2025 Kerala OnLine 346: Neutral Citation No. 2024:KER:98288.

Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 (Central Act 5 of 1908)—Section 60(1)(g)—Retirement benefits such as Gratuity and Pension are attachable in a claim for maintenance raised by the daughter against the father/employee - Rifa Fathima v. Saleem and others - I.L.R. 2025 Kerala OnLine 345: Neutral Citation No. 2025:KER:84776.

Constitution of India—Article 233 (2)—Continuous Service—Only those candidates who, as on the date of application, have uninterrupted and continuous service either as an Advocate/Pleader or as a Judicial officer, or a combination of both, shall be eligible for consideration for appointment as District Judges by direct recruitment - Renjanish K.V. v. Deepa K. and others - ILR 2025 Kerala OnLine 347 (SC)(LB): Neutral Citation No.2025 INSC 1208.

Constitution of India—Article 233(2)—Article 233 (2) does not prohibit the appointment of persons already employed under the Union or the State as District Judge—It merely enables, in addition, Advocates or Pleaders having not less than seven years' practice to be considered for appointment as District Judges - Renjanish K.V. v. Deepa K. and others - ILR 2025 Kerala OnLine 347 (SC)(LB): Neutral Citation No.2025 INSC 1208.

Constitution of India—Article 233(2)—Eligibility for direct recruitment—A Judicial Officer who has completed seven years of practice at the Bar prior to entering the subordinate judicial service, or who possesses a combined experience of not less than seven years as an Advocate and as a Judicial Officer, is eligible to be considered for appointment as a District Judge or Additional District Judge through the process of direct recruitment under Article 233 of the Constitution - Renjanish K.V. v. Deepa K. and others - ILR 2025 Kerala OnLine 347 (SC)(LB): Neutral Citation No.2025 INSC 1208.

Interpretation of statutes—Principles of interpretation—The interpretation of constitutional provisions cannot be pedantic; it must be organic and purposive—Any construction that unduly restricts competition for appointment to the direct recruitment quota of District Judges is to be avoided, and the interpretation that best advances the objective of ensuring efficiency in the administration of justice must be preferred - Constitution of India - Renjanish K.V. v. Deepa K. and others - ILR 2025 Kerala OnLine 347 (SC)(LB): Neutral Citation No.2025 INSC 1208.

Rights of Persons with Disabilities Act, 2016 (Central Act 49 of 2016)—Section 34—While implementing 4% reservation under Section 34, all eligible candidates with benchmark disabilities who appeared for the examination must be considered, and not only those already included in the existing ranked list—Differently abled candidates selected on merit without relaxed standards cannot be adjusted against the reserved quota—Direction confined to preparation of separate ranked list including the 26 candidates with benchmark disabilities who attended the examination—State and Subordinate Service Rules, 1958 (Kerala)—Rule 15(e) - Kerala Public Service Commission v. Sheethal C.V and others - I.L.R. 2025 Kerala OnLine 344: Neutral Citation No. 2025:KER:76797.

Pl. Visit our web site - www.ilrkerala.gov.in
