



Indian Law Reports - Kerala Series

Abridged Index

ILR - INDEX OF CASES REPORTED dt. 20.05.2026

Central Goods and Services Tax Act, 2017 (Central Act 12 of 2017)—Section 28—When it is found that, permitting the amendment of registration is illegal, on the basis of fraud or mistake, the authority is vested with the power to have a re-look on the matter, as the order allowing the amendment would be an illegal order - Suveendran N. v. State Tax officer and others - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 155 : [Neutral Citation No.2026:KER:33203](#).

Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 (Central Act 5 of 1908)—Sections 2(2) and 2(9)—Order XIV, Rule 6 and Order XX, Rule 4—Points for determination are the court's restatement of the disputed questions/issues that were placed before it and the judgment must answer each question/ issue—Even in default or ex parte suits, the court should identify the legal points, though obvious and give a reasoned answer—Though the framing of issues in an ex parte suit is not mandatory by virtue of Order XIV Rule 6 of CPC, the judgment must be in conformity with the provisions of the Code—If the omission to frame issues causes prejudice to the parties, then the same can vitiate the trial—Test for finding whether omission to frame the issues has caused prejudice to the parties or not, elucidated - Pramod Shroff v. Mohan Singh Chopra - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 151 (SC): [Neutral Citation No.2026 INSC 378](#).

Conservation of Paddy Land and Wetland Act, 2008 (Kerala Act No.28 of

2008)—Sections 9, 27A and Section 27C—Scope of reclassification/ reassessment of land under Section 27C (2) includes properties converted under Section 9 also—Use of word “paddy land” in addition to the ‘unnotified land’ would itself indicate that, scope of the same is not confined to the land covered under Section 27A alone—Respondent cannot be denied the benefit due to subsequent legal position as laid down in Sabeena’s case, when order of reclamation was passed as early as in 2012 by applying legal position that existed at the relevant time and the same had not yet been set aside—”Reclamation” and “Conversion” can be interpreted synonymously for the purpose of Section 27C—The legislature is deemed not to waste its words or to say anything in vain—Every word that is used by the legislature must be given its due import and significance—Land Tax Act, 1961 (Kerala Act 3 of 1961)—Sections 6 and 6A—Interpretation of statutes - State of Kerala and others v. Martin Augustine - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 149 : [Neutral Citation No.2026:KER:29802](#).

Constitution of India—Article 226—Principles reiterated with respect to maintainability of writ petition—Non-appreciation of a piece of evidence or the failure to give further time to produce more evidence cannot be said to be a violation of principles of natural justice—The fact that the petitioners have to deposit the entire amount for maintaining an appeal under Section 7(7) is no ground to hold that the remedy of appeal is not an effective alternative remedy—Payment of Gratuity Act, 1972 (Central Act 39 of 1972)—Section 7(7) - Manager, Majlis English Medium School and another v. Deputy Labour Commissioner and another - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 150 : [Neutral Citation No.2026:KER:31138](#).

Co-operative Societies Rules, 1969 (Kerala)—Rule 182—When the society prepared a Rank List, and expressly conceded that the same was in force for two years, then the society cannot contend that only the notified vacancies could have been filled up—Co-operative Societies Act, 1969 (Kerala Act 21 of 1969) - Kizhuvilam Service Co-Operative Bank Ltd. No.2405 and another v. Sooryan S.S. and another - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 152 : [Neutral Citation No.2026:KER:29581](#).

Divorce Act, 1869 (Central Act 4 of 1869)—Section 10A—In a petition filed under Section 10A, the Family Court is required to make such inquiry as it thinks fit, that a marriage has been solemnized, that the averments in the petition are true and to pass a decree declaring the marriage to be dissolved with effect from the date of decree—Any further enquiry is not called for - Dyna Scaria @ Thresiamma v. Vernin Varkey @ John - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 153 ; [Neutral Citation No.2026:KER:28555](#).

Kerala State Commission for Minorities Act, 2014 (Kerala Act 5 of 2014)—Section 9 (c)—This provision cannot confer jurisdiction on the Commission to evict a person

belonging to a minority community bypassing the jurisdiction of a civil court - Moideenkutty v. Kerala State Minority Commission and others - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 154 : [Neutral Citation No.2026:KER:33579](#).

Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987 (Central Act 39 of 1987)—Section 21—In view of the clear mandate of the provision, the award passed by the Lok Adalat is equivalent to a decree of civil court - It can be executed without any further certification by any authority under the Co-operative Societies Act that required such certificate before enforcement, if proceedings were allowed to be continued under the Legal Services Authorities Act and had culminated in an award—Co-operative Societies Act, 1969 (Kerala Act 21 of 1969)—Section 76 - Joseph K.J. v. Malanad Service Co-Operative Bank Ltd. and another - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 156 : [Neutral Citation No. 2026:KER:19593](#).

Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988 (Central Act 49 of 1988)—Sections 7, 13(2) and 13(1)(d)—Demand and acceptance of bribe—Some inconsistencies in evidence tendered by prosecution witness after passage of substantial time between the complaint and trial does not vitiate the prosecution case, when the evidence has otherwise been consistent—Failure to conduct preliminary enquiry does not vitiate the prosecution under the Prevention of Corruption Act—Absence of corroboration of demand and acceptance of the bribe by the decoy witness is not fatal to the prosecution case, if there is nothing to disbelieve the testimony of the complainant - Kesava M. v. State of Kerala - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 148 : [Neutral Citation No2026:KER:31703](#)

Recovery Of Debts Due To Banks And Financial Institutions Act, 1993, (Central Act 51 of 1993)—Where credible issues are raised regarding the adequacy of valuation or the fairness of the process leading to the fixation of the reserve price, the supervisory jurisdiction of the Court may be invoked to ensure that the recovery proceedings have been conducted in a manner that secures the best possible value of for the property—The principle of finality attached to court-confirmed auction sales cannot operate to shield the process from judicial examination where the question relates to the adequacy of valuation or fixation of reserve price, particularly when such examination is necessary to ensure that the secured asset has fetched the best possible price - Om Sakthi Sekar v. Sukumar V. and others - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 147 (SC) : [Neutral Citation No.2026 INSC 237](#).

Pl. visit our website – www.ilrkerala.gov.in
