



Indian Law Reports - Kerala Series

Abridged Index

I.L.R. – Index of reported decisions dt. 19.08.2026

Administrative Law—Personal bias—Bias must be established by specific pleadings and materials—Law requires a party alleging bias, to prove that there was a real likelihood of bias and when such allegations are made, the issue will have to be decided on the basis of circumstances and facts arising in each individual case—A mere allegation of bias is not sufficient and there must be a certain degree of actual bias that can be inferred from the surrounding circumstances—When an adjudicatory mechanism is put in place for a specialised professional field having limited number of professionals, it is normal that the members of the adjudicatory body who are also from the same profession, are known personally or professionally to others in the same field - That would not be enough to establish that there is real likelihood of bias in the adjudicatory body - Mahesh B. @ Mahesh Panju and another v. State of Kerala and others - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 259 : [Neutral Citation No. 2026:KER:53062](#).

Civil Services (Classification, Control and Appeal) Rules, 1960 (Kerala)—Rules 31(2)—An Enquiry based on non-specific or vague memo of charges/statement of allegations is vitiated—A delinquent must be informed about the imputations against him with clarity—Selective penalization among similarly responsible employees is arbitrary—Kerala State Financial Enterprises Limited Employees Standing Order—Order 35 (i) - Abdul Khalam E. v. Kerala State Financial Enterprise Ltd. and another -I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 252 : [Neutral Citation No. 2026:KER:42757](#).

Constitution of India—Articles 14 and 19—Government Contract—The work awarded before the Government order was issued—Supplementary agreements executed prior to filing of the writ petition revealed that the contractor and SPV (KITE) agreed to conditional approval of Revised Estimates subject to final determination under the G.O.—Contractor cannot challenge applicability of the Government order—As the work was completed to satisfaction and extra quantities were executed as demanded by the beneficiary, revisiting the BOQ or denying payment at this stage would cause prejudice and be inequitable - Chief Executive Officer, Kerala Infrastructure Investment Fund Board (KIIFB) v. South Indian Constructions Pvt. Ltd. and another - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 256 : [Neutral Citation No. 2026:KER:51682](#).

Constitution of India—Articles 14 and 226—Challenge to constitution of Jury—‘Visual Artist’ meaning—The general principle in relation to statutes is that when a word is not defined in the statute, certainly the dictionary meaning can be adopted—The words of a statute are first understood in their natural, ordinary, or popular sense unless that leads to some absurdity or unless there is something in the context or in the object of the statute to suggest the contrary—The jury was constituted for the purpose of determining the award for the Best Documentary shown on television—To confine the term ‘visual arts’ in a narrow and pedantic manner, as ascribed in the dictionaries, would destroy the whole purpose of instituting the awards— Interpretation of statutes - Mahesh B. @ Mahesh Panju and another v. State of Kerala and others - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 259 : [Neutral Citation No. 2026:KER:53062](#).

Constitution of India—Article 226—It is impermissible in law to reagitate concluded issues and claims, especially when the reliefs sought for and the cause of action are similar—Legal recourse may be available to make a legal entity answerable for the actions of another—Matters that essentially espouse the victim’s own cause, though along with others, are not liable to be characterised as PILs—Extraordinary jurisdiction under Article 226 cannot be invoked in cases where there is an absence of bonafide intentions—Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 (Central Act 5 of 1908)—Order XXIII Rule 4 - Sajan George v. Union of India and others - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 253 : [Neutral Citation No. 2026:KER:42485](#).

Constitution of India—Article 226—Suppression of fact—If the petitioner does not disclose full facts or suppress relevant materials or is otherwise guilty of misleading the court, the Court may dismiss the action without adjudicating the matter - This rule has been evolved in the larger public interest to deter litigants from abusing the process of the court—Interference under Article 226 not warranted - Mahesh B. @ Mahesh Panju and another v. State of Kerala and others - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 259 : [Neutral Citation No. 2026:KER:53062](#).

Constitution of India—Article 226—The rule only states that a person who participates in the awards shall be deemed to have accepted these rules - The said clause providing for the acceptance of a rule cannot be said to be arbitrary - Mahesh B. @ Mahesh Panju and another v. State of Kerala and others - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 259 : [Neutral Citation No. 2026:KER:53062](#).

Constitution of India—Article 227—High Court can only interfere in cases where there is a grave dereliction of duty or gross and manifest failure of justice in the orders of the tribunal or subordinate courts—An Interim Order cannot undo a completed administrative act, as if it never occurred—Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985 (Central Act 13 of 1985)—Section 24 - State of Kerala v. Reena K.J (Dr.) and another - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 251 : [Neutral Citation No. 2026:KER:45236](#).

Co-operative Societies Act, 1969 (Kerala Act 21 of 1969)—Section 28—Right to contest an election is clearly a statutory right and is not a fundamental right—A statutory provision can be struck down only if it is in violation of the rights conferred by Part III of the Constitution of India or if the provision is beyond the legislative competence of the legislative body which enacted the provision or if the provision is against the basic structure of the Constitution—Provisions of Sub-rule (4A) of Rule 35A of 1969 Rules cannot operate in the face of the contrary provision in Section 28 (1)—Constitutional validity of Section 28(7) upheld—Co-operative Societies Rules, 1969 (Kerala)—Rule 35A(4)— Constitution of India - Janardhanan T. and others v. State of Kerala and others -I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 254 : [Neutral Citation No. 2024:KER:88909](#).

Co-operative Societies Rules, 1969 (Kerala)—Rule 198—Disciplinary enquiry shall only commence upon issuance of a proper memo of charges, and not on the order of suspension—A disciplinary sub-committee cannot be constituted to conduct disciplinary proceedings against a retired employee, that too without a charge memo—Mere issuance of a preliminary show-cause notice is not the initiation of disciplinary proceedings - Thomas P.M. v. Ala Service Co-operative Bank Ltd. No. 2123 and others - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 255 : [Neutral Citation No. 2026:KER:45666](#).

Environment (Protection) Act,1986 (Central Act 29 of 1986)—Mere assessment of Environmental damages by the Pollution Control Board cannot partake the character of an enforceable Order—Environmental Clearance is granted to a project or activity and the same is 'site specific'—Air (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act, 1981 (Central Act 14 of 1981.)—Section 31A—Water (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act, 1974 (Central Act 6 of 1974)—Section 33A - Sajjan George v. Union of India and others - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 253 : [Neutral Citation No.](#)

Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 (Central Act 61 of 1985)—Sections 22(c), 37 and 52A—The prosecution is bound to prove the recovery of the contraband either by tendering the seized contraband in its original form or by tendering in evidence the inventory prepared under Section 52A—Mere preparation of the inventory without tendering and marking the same in evidence cannot sustain a conviction of possession of contraband - Hafis v. State of Kerala - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 257 : [Neutral Citation No. 2026:KER:50874](#).

National Green Tribunal Act, 2010 (Central Act 19 of 2010)—Sections 14, 15 and 29—Ouster of Civil Court Jurisdiction—Suit for Fixation of Boundary and Lateral Support—Jurisdiction of the civil court is ousted only in cases where the question relating to the enforcement of any of the seven enactments mentioned in the First Schedule to the said act arises— Green Tribunal cannot decide an inter se dispute arising under the Indian Easements Act, 1882—Civil Procedure Code, 1908 (Central Act 5 of 1908)—Section 9—Indian Easements Act, 1882 (Central Act 5 of 1882)—Section 7 - Saju George v. Saji Varghese and another - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 258 : [Neutral Citation No. 2026:KER:51372](#).

Pl. visit our website – www.ilrkerala.gov.in
