



## Indian Law Reports - Kerala Series

### Abridged Index

#### I.L.R. – Index of cases reported dt. 22.07.2026

**Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (Central Act 43 of 2023)**—Section 348—Power under Section 348 is of wide and enabling nature- Any person can be summoned as witness and examined under Section 348 at any stage of the trial or proceedings- Omission from the witness list is not, by itself, a ground to refuse summoning and examining an additional witness on a material fact borne out from the record - Jollyamma Joseph @ Jolly v. State of Kerala - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 218 : [Neutral Citation No.2026:KER:39029](#).

**Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (Central Act 47 of 2023)**—Section 482—Anticipatory bail—Petitioner, President of a Co-operative Society, sought anticipatory bail in three connected crimes involving misappropriation of fixed deposits and chit amounts collected from depositors of the Society, registered against him and the Secretary of the Society—Petitioner is already involved in twenty-six similar crimes registered against the Society, having allegedly misappropriated crores of rupees—While considering anticipatory bail in serious crimes of this nature, detention of the accused in a similar crime has no relevance, as arrest and custodial interrogation in each crime are essential to effectuate the investigation of that particular crime meaningfully to accomplish successful prosecution – Penal Code, 1860 (Central Act 45 of 1860)—Sections 409, 420, 406 and 34—Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988 (Central Act 49 of 1988)—Sections 13(1)(a) and 13(2)—Banning of Unregulated Deposit Schemes Act, 2019 (Central Act 21 of 2019) - Balakrishnan Nair v. State of Kerala and another - I.L.R. 2026

**Byelaws for street vending in Kochi Corporation, 2022**—No street vendors, including kiosks, can be permitted to be set up on a footpath in a prohibited zone under the Street Vending Act—Street Vendors (Protection of Livelihood and Regulation of Street Vending) Act, 2014 (Central Act 7 of 2014) - Tritvam Apartment Owners Association (TAOA) and another v. District Collector, Ernakulam District and others - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 214: [Neutral Citation No.2026:KER:44916.](#)

**Constitution of India**—Article 21—As the right to life and liberty includes the right to walk freely without any obstruction, footpaths cannot be used for setting up commercial kiosks—This right encompasses the primary right to have well-demarcated, uninterrupted, and properly maintained footpaths, placing a correlative duty on local urban and municipal authorities to safeguard them - Tritvam Apartment Owners Association (TAOA) and another v. District Collector, Ernakulam District and others - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 214: [Neutral Citation No. 2026:KER:44916..](#)

**Co-operative Societies Act, 1969 (Kerala Act 21 of 1969)**—Section 69—As per the Doctrine of Election, once the applicant has elected one forum, he is estopped from making a complaint before another forum—Co-operative Societies Rules, 1969 (Kerala)—Rule 198 - Channapetta Service Co-Operative Bank Ltd. No. 3548 and another v. Anithakumari G. and another - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 220 : [Neutral Citation No. 2026:KER:43415.](#)

**Co-operative Societies Employees Self Financing Pension Scheme, 1994 (Kerala)**—Clauses 3, 20, 27—Taking into consideration that he/she has retired from that post, an employee rightly appointed to a post, is entitled to pension and other retirement benefits, irrespective of ongoing disputes - Channapetta Service Co-Operative Bank Ltd. No. 3548 and another v. Anithakumari G. and another - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 220 : [Neutral Citation No.2026:KER:43415.](#)

**Evidence Act, 1872 (Central Act 1 of 1872)**—Holographic Will—Once it is proved as a holographic Will, very little evidence is required to prove its execution and attestation - Premila Surendran v. Prasanna Chandran (Died) and others - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 215 : [Neutral Citation No. 2026:KER:34453.](#)

**Evidence Act, 1872 (Central Act 1 of 1872)**—Sections 17, 58, 68, 69 and 70—Proof of will—Burden on the propounder to remove suspicious circumstances—Will is to be proved as required under the Evidence Act—Admission under Section 58 of the Evidence Act is not a substitute for the requirement under Section 68 therein—Parties cannot make use of the provisions under Sections 17

and 70 of the Evidence Act, as a substitute for the requirement under Sections 68 and 69 therein— Presumption under Section 90 of the Evidence Act is not applicable to Will - Premila Surendran v. Prasanna Chandran (Died) and others - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 215 : [Neutral Citation No. 2026:KER:34453](#).

**Evidence Act, 1872 (Central Act 1 of 1872)**—Section 70—Revocation of will—Revocation of a Will also is to be executed and proved in the manner of execution and proof of an unprivileged Will or codicil - Premila Surendran v. Prasanna Chandran (Died) and others - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 215 : [Neutral Citation No. 2026:KER:34453](#)

**Family Courts Act, 1984 (Central Act 66 of 1984)**—Section 7(1), Explanation (b)—A dispute arising after the death of the person to whom both parties claim to be married cannot be viewed as a “family dispute” requiring adjudication by the Family Court, and retains the character of a civil dispute simpliciter to be adjudicated by a civil court of competent jurisdiction—Explanation (b) to Section 7(1) carves out only disputes connected with a subsisting relationship of marriage, that is, a relationship in praesenti, for adjudication before the specialised Forum—A dispute arising when one of the parties to the marriage, whether actual or alleged, is no more, cannot be presented before Family Court - Renuka Kumari v. Pradeep Kumar and another - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 216 : [Neutral Citation No.2026:KER:41503](#).

**High Court Act, 1958 (Kerala Act 5 of 1959)**—Section 5—Appellants/ unsuccessful candidates in a rank list who were arrayed as party respondents in writ petitions filed by successful candidates challenging cancellation of their caste certificates, filed writ appeals against the judgment of the Single Judge quashing the cancellation orders and remitting the matter for fresh enquiry—The impugned order, which merely remits the matter to the jurisdictional authorities for fresh consideration and protects the interest of both parties, cannot be treated as adverse to the appellants’ interest so as to render them “aggrieved persons” entitled to appeal—Appellants are neither necessary nor proper parties to the lis, which revolves around the personal rights of the writ petitioners—A nominal or highly speculative adverse effect on the interest of a person is insufficient to confer right to sue—Mere inclusion in the party array of a writ petition does not entitle a person to maintain an appeal under Section 5 - Anoop R. (Dr.) and another v. Surabhi S.L. (Dr.) and others - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 217 : [Neutral Citation No. 2026:KER:37626](#).

**Municipalities Act, 1994 (Kerala Act 20 of 1994)**—Sections 2 (34) and 207—Owner of land cannot utilise the road, including footpaths, for any purpose—Notwithstanding the Patta issued to GIDA over the entire 25 hectares of

reclaimed land, still after formation of the Goshree—Chathiyath road, the said road is vested absolutely with the Kochi Corporation -Tritvam Apartment Owners Association (TAOA) and another v. District Collector, Ernakulam District and others - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 214: [Neutral Citation No. 2026:KER:44916..](#)

**Municipality Act, 1994 (Kerala Act 20 of 1994)**—Section 143—Oath of affirmation—Elected Councilor detained in preventive custody—Duty of the High Court is to uphold democracy – State should make arrangements in jail to enable him to make the Oath of affirmation—Constitution of India—Article 226 - Sugathan R. v. State of Kerala and others - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 222 : [Neutral Citation No. 2026:KER:51348.](#)

**Panchayat Raj Act, 1994 (Kerala Act 13 of 1994)**—Sections 271J, 271K, 271M, 271N and 271Q—Ombudsman for Local Self Government Institutions is duty-bound to enquire into allegations of corruption, maladministration and loss caused to a Local Self Government Institution —Complaints alleging maladministration and loss to a Local Self Government Institution cannot be rejected without enquiry into the allegations in the manner envisaged in Sections 271J, 271K, 271M, 271N and 271Q - Kannur District Panchayath Employees and Pensioners Co-operative Society Ltd. No. 1829 v. Kannur District Panchayath and others - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 219 : [Neutral Citation No. 2026:KER:29250.](#)

**Succession Act, 1925 (Central Act 39 of 1925)**—Sections 57(a), 57(b) and 213—Jurisdiction—Ordinary original civil jurisdiction of the High Courts of Judicature at Madras—For Wills made by Hindus on or after 01.09.1870, within the limits of Malabar District part of erstwhile Madras Presidency, probate or letters of administration is not mandatory—Ordinary original civil jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras extends only within the Madras town and not beyond the town—For claiming rights under Wills executed by Hindus in the erstwhile Malabar district, which was not part of Madras town and to which the ordinary original civil jurisdiction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras did not extend, probate or letters of administration is not mandatory - Premila Surendran v. Prasanna Chandran (Died) and others - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 215 : [Neutral Citation No. 2026:KER:34453.](#)

**Pl. visit our website – [www.ilrkerala.gov.in](http://www.ilrkerala.gov.in)**

\*\*\*\*