

Abridged Index

I.L.R.- Index of reported cases dt. 23.07.2025

Anti-Social Activities (Prevention) Act, 2007 (Kerala Act 34 of 2007)—Section 3—Order has to be passed on credible materials and after arriving at an subjective and objective satisfaction - Selvi v. State of Kerala and others - I.L.R. 2025 Kerala OnLine 236: Neutral Citation No. 2025:KER:38652.

Anti-Social Activities (Prevention) Act, 2007 (Kerala Act 34 of 2007)—Section 3—If the detenu is released on bail, authority has to consider the sufficiency of the bail conditions - Selvi v. State of Kerala and others - I.L.R. 2025 Kerala OnLine 236: Neutral Citation No. 2025:KER:38652.

Central Goods and Services Tax Act, 2017 (Central Act 12 of 2017)—Section 169—Service of notice by Whatsapp—The statute prescribes the mode of notice—The notice stated to have been sent to the Petitioner/owner through WhatsApp is not a mode of service contemplated under the Act—Order of confiscation consequent to notice through Whatsapp is illegal—Section 170 - Mathai M.V. v. Senior Enforcement Officer and another - I.L.R. 2025 Kerala OnLine 239: Neutral Citation No.2025:KER:45283.

Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 (Central Act 5 of 1908)—Section 92—Pleading should have the following ingredients to enable the Court to grant leave to institute

the suit – Explained - Iruvaikonam Bhagavathi Temple and others v. State of Kerala and others - I.L.R. 2025 Kerala OnLine 235: Neutral Citation No. 2025:KER:38929.

Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 (Central Act 5 of 1908)—Section 92— Court has to examine the real purpose of the suit before granting leave —Courts should eliminate the possibility of suit being instituted to vindicate private interests - Iruvaikonam Bhagavathi Temple and others v. State of Kerala and others - I.L.R. 2025 Kerala OnLine 235: Neutral Citation No. 2025:KER:38929.

Constitution of India—Article 14—Prospectus for admission for Engineering—Government is not justified in modifying the standardisation formulae used to standardise the results of Kerala Syllabus, CBSE, ICSE etc. Boards mid way through the selection process—The amended standardisation formulae violates Article 14 - State of Kerala and another v. Garry Vino George and others - I.L.R. 2025 Kerala OnLine 238: Neutral Citation No. 2025:KER:51066.

Constitution of India—Articles 21 and 226—Reformation is the main goal in Indian Criminal Jurisprudence—It cannot be said that a criminal will always be a criminal and a rowdy will always be a rowdy—If the person is coming with a genuine claim that he is on the reformative pathway, the court cannot ignore the same—Court clarified that once a rowdy history sheet is opened against a person, it should not be exhibited to the public - Such a sheet is opened only for the reference of police officers in that police station—Photographs of the criminals should not be displayed in the police station premises, which is accessible to the public and should be kept in a secure area accessible only to the police personnel, ensuring confidentiality and preventing public exposure - Nixon v. City Police Commissioner and others - I.L.R. 2025 Kerala OnLine 241: Neutral Citation No. 2025:KER:46085.

Constitution of India—Article 226—The action of the State in preferring appeal and prolonging the proceedings amounts to harassment of citizens without any justification—State does not enjoy any privilege in litigation and procedures to be followed while pursuing litigation—State liable for cost for unreasonably prolonging litigation - Tahsildar and another v. Mananchira Township Complex Pvt. Ltd. - I.L.R. 2025 Kerala OnLine 237: Neutral Citation No. 2025:KER:38016.

Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 (Central Act 61 of 1985)—Sections 37 and 67—Once the prosecution is prima facie unable to establish a legally connecting link between the accused and the offence alleged, rigour in granting bail becomes diluted—After the final report is filed, the prosecution cannot rely upon the statement of the accused under Section 67 as the sole material to connect an accused with the crime—When materials to link the accused with the offence alleged are lacking, despite months of investigation, the Court cannot but

uphold the liberty of the individual—Confession of a co-accused cannot be elevated to the status of substantive evidence which can form the basis of conviction of the co-accused - Hakkim v. State of Kerala - I.L.R. 2025 Kerala OnLine 242: Neutral Citation No. 2025:KER:44918.

Right of Children to Free and Compulsory Education Act, 2009 (Central Act 35 of 2009)— Sections 18 and 19—If the school does not have norms and standards prescribed under the Schedule, no recognition can be granted—When the schedule contemplates a building to have a playground, it means it should have a playground of sufficient area in which sports can be played- St. Mary's English Medium School v. State of Kerala and others - I.L.R. 2025 Kerala OnLine 240: Neutral Citation No. 2025:KER:42388.

Scheduled Castes and the Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989 (Central Act 33 of 1989)—Section 3(1)(r)—Whether the remark 'manufacturing defect' would constitute an offence—For the offence under Section 3(1)(r) to be attracted, the insult or intimidation should be on account of the victim being a member of a Scheduled Caste community or a Scheduled Tribe—While deciding whether an insult or intimidation is on account of the victim belonging to the Scheduled Caste or Scheduled Tribe, the Context and the scenario is of utmost importance—Expression 'within public view' would be taken as any activity or event which is visible or audible to persons other than the direct participants—The question whether the insulting remark made inside the hall can be perceived as something done within public view can only be decided based on evidence - Kusuman C.M. (Dr.) v. State of Kerala and others - I.L.R. 2025 Kerala OnLine 243: Neutral Citation No. 2025:KER:44735.

Pl. Visit out website - www.ilrkerala.gov.in