



Indian Law Reports - Kerala Series

Abridged Index

ILR - Index of reported cases dt. 21.10.2026

Central Goods and Services Tax Act, 2017 (Central Act 12 of 2017)—Sections 67 and 130—Reference to “goods liable to confiscation” under Section 67 (2) establishes the legislative intent that the exercise of powers under Section 67 must bear a proximate and rational connection with the proceedings for confiscation under Section 130—Unless there is a specific stipulation prohibiting the operation of the provisions contained in Section 67, upon commencement of the proceedings under Section 130, under no circumstances, it can be assumed that the authorities are not bound to consider the release of the goods under Section 67(6)—In the absence of any specific provisions, that enables the respondents to conclude that the stipulation under Section 67(6) is not applicable, once the proceedings under Section 130 are initiated, they cannot decline the request placed under Section 67(6)—Deprivation of property can only be done according to law—When the statute does not provide for express prohibition, it is to be understood as permissible—Constitution of India—Article 300A - Ashok Parasuram Uthale v. Intelligence Officer, Intelligence unit II and others - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 333 : [Neutral Citation No.2026:KER:54898](#).

Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 (Central Act 5 of 1908)—Order VII, Rules 10 and 11(d)—Return of Plaint vs. Rejection of Plaint—A commercial suit is a specie of civil litigation and does not suffer from an inherent bar under Order VII Rule 11(d); consequently, if a commercial dispute is instituted before an ordinary civil court, the proper course is to return the plaint under Order VII Rule 10 for presentation before the designated commercial court rather than rejecting it - Commercial Courts Act, 2015 - Roy Poruthookkaran and another v. Elizabath @ Thanka and others - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 335 : [Neutral Citation No.2026:KER:75740](#).

Constitution of India—Article 226—Locus standi—Petitioner an Advocate and Social activist—*The petitioner has the locus standi to file the Writ petition seeking registration of FIR as a citizen of India and as a whistle-blower, who is aggrieved by the rejection of his application by the Government* - Shajahan K.M. (Adv.) v. State of Kerala and others - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 334 : [Neutral Citation No.2026:KER:78014](#).

Constitution of India—Articles 226, 227, 323A and 323 B—Orders made by a tribunal constituted by a law, which has Article 323A of the Constitution as its source, can be challenged before the High Courts under Article 226 of the Constitution of India—Orders made by tribunals constituted by law enacted in terms of Article 323B would similarly be amenable to challenge either under Article 226, or Article 227—It is vital to remember that exercise of discretion under Article 226 cannot, however, be refused merely because the tribunal's order could also have been challenged under Article 227—Whether jurisdiction under Article 226, or under Article 227, has been invoked cannot be ascertained merely by reading the prayer—Supreme Court expressed concerns about 'bench hunting' as different Single Judges of a High Court are assigned or nominated by the Chief Justice to decide writ petitions/original applications, under Articles 226 and 227 - Ajit Singh v. State of Rajasthan and others - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 332 (S.C.) : [Neutral Citation No.2026 INSC 733](#).

Family Courts Act, 1984 (Central Act 66 of 1984)—Section 7(d), Explanation (d)—Jurisdiction to declare the matrimonial status of any person—Unilateral dissolution of marriage, invoking khula and talaq—Scope of inquiry before the Family Court is limited—The validity of 'khula' does not depend upon the acceptance or consent of the husband; rather, it constitutes a right available to the wife for dissolution of the marriage—Detailed inquiry is neither essential nor desirable in a proceeding initiated by either of the parties for endorsement of an extrajudicial divorce—The Family Court is required only to consider whether the three requisite ingredients are there - Kuriyodath Musabah v. Isra Askar Parappan - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 337 : [Neutral Citation No.2026:KER:72743](#).

Precedents—Doctrine of stare decisis—Binding precedent—A decision is an authority only for the issue that was heard and decided in the case - Roy Poruthookkaran and another v. Elizabeth @ Thanka and others - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 335 : [Neutral Citation No.2026:KER:75740](#).

Prevention of Money Laundering Act, 2002 (Central Act 15 of 2003)—Section 66(2)—Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988 (Central Act 49 of 1988)—Section 13(1)(b)—When the information passed by the E.D under Section 66(2) of the PMLA, itself discloses commission of cognizable offence/offences, the same occupies the place of preliminary enquiry report or the same is an adequate substitute

in the place of a preliminary enquiry report, in a such case, registration of FIR would become mandatory and in the said circumstances, the State Agency has no option to go for preliminary enquiry as the scope of such enquiry is not wide enough to go beyond the information under Section 66(2) of the PMLA—Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (Central Act 2 of 1974)—Section 154—Kerala Police Act, 2011 (Kerala Act 8 of 2011)—Section 21 - Shajahan K.M. (Adv.) v. State of Kerala and others - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 334 : [Neutral Citation No.2026:KER:78014](#).

Registration of Births and Deaths Act, 1969 (Central Act 18 of 1969)—Section 15—Registration of Births and Deaths Rules, 1999 (Kerala)—Rule 11—Correction of Birth Register—Where rigid statutory provisions and administrative circulars fail to provide a mechanism to rectify mistaken entries regarding parentage without forcing a minor child to undergo traumatic DNA and there is no dispute about the paternity of a child, constitutional courts must step in as protectors of child's welfare to prevent lifelong stigma and to ensure complete justice—Doctrine of Parens Patriae—The constitutional court also stands to protect every minor child if there is an injustice or harm to a child, *by invoking the jurisdiction under the doctrine of Parens Patriae—Constitution of India—Article 226* - XXX v. Kochi Municipal Corporation and others - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 336 : [Neutral Citation No.2026:KER:74898](#).

Pl. visit our web site – www.ilrkerala.gov.in
