

Abridged Index

I.L.R. - Index of reported cases dt. 24.09.2025

Public Provident Fund Act, 1968 (Central Act 23 of 1968)—Section 4— Deposits in accounts opened for and on behalf of minors by an individual has to be clubbed with the account maintained by such individual for calculating annual limits—Excess deposits made during the period of minority in violation of statutory limit can be appropriated—Public Provident Fund Scheme, 1968—Rule 3 - Union of India and others v. Fareeda Sukha Rafiq and others - I.L.R. 2025 Kerala OnLine 296: Neutral Citation No. 2025:KER:60445.

Penal Code, **1860 (Central Act 45 of 1860)**—Section 304A—A medical practitioner can be prosecuted for medical negligence only if the procedure/treatment adopted by him is contrary to the general and approved practise—For attracting offence under Section 304A, the death must also be the direct or proximate result of the rash or negligent act of the accused - Vinu V. Gopal (Dr.) v. State of Kerala - I.L.R. 2025 Kerala OnLine 295: Neutral Citation No. 2025:KER:60125.

Panchayat Raj Act, 1994 (Kerala Act 13 of 1994)—Section 227—When a duly elected Panchayat Committee decides to discharge their statutory functions and public duty, the action of the Panchayat cannot be permitted to be defeated by physical force—Police authorities shall not remain as mute spectators when wanton vandalism is unleashed—Horrendous acts of mobocracy cannot be allowed to become a new norm and has to be curbed with iron hands— Constitution of India—Article 226 - President, Kizhakkambalam Grama Panchayat v. Superintendent

of Police and others - I.L.R. 2025 Kerala OnLine 289 : Neutral Citation No.2025:KER:58982.

Motor Vehicles Act, 1988 (Central Act 59 of 1988)—Sections 163A, 166 and 190—In determining whether the driver was negligent, the doctrine of res ipsa loquitur applies, shifting the burden to the driver and owner to prove that there was no negligence—Owner and the driver of the vehicle have a duty to exercise ordinary care to ensure that the vehicle is safe for operation and does not pose any risk to others—When a defence of mechanical failure is taken, it is the duty of the party, that takes the defence. to establish the vehicle maintained—Bursting of tyre is entirely different from rolling out of tyre from the vehicle— Motor Vehicles Rules, 1989 (Kerala)—Rules 249 and 258 - Director General of Police, and another v. Rajeswari and another - I.L.R. 2025 Kerala OnLine 293: Neutral Citation No. 2025:KER:49540.

Maintenance and Welfare of Parents and Senior Citizens Act, 2007 (Central Act 56 of 2007)— Sections 2 (g), 4(4) and 5—A person who is not a legal heir of the senior citizen cannot be a 'relative' under the Act merely for the reason that he is in possession of the property of the senior citizen or would inherit his property—A 'legal heir' is a person who, under the personal law, is entitled to inherit the estate of the deceased—Mere fact that appellant succeeded to the property of her husband and that the said property was gifted to him by the third respondent, would not make her a 'relative' within the purview of the Act - Sheeja S. v. Maintenance Appellate Tribunal/District Collector and others - I.L.R. 2025 Kerala OnLine 292: Neutral Citation No. 2025:KER:58578.

Land Assignment Rules, 1964 (Kerala)—Rule 6—Embargo against alienation cannot be imposed in a patta for any assignment made under Rule 6, after collecting the market value of the property from the assignee - Mathew John v. Land Revenue Commissioner and others - I.L.R. 2025 Kerala OnLine 290: Neutral Citation No. 2025:KER:63827.

Constitution of India—Article 226—Infrastructural and other issues relating to Administration of Justice in the Lakshadweep Islands—Comprehensive directions issued - Suo Motu v. Union of India and others - I.L.R. 2025 Kerala OnLine 297: Neutral Citation No. 2025:KER:63497.

Buildings (Lease and Rent Control) Act, 1965 (Kerala Act 2 of 1965)—Section 11—Petition for eviction—It is not necessary to implead all partners of the tenant firm in a petition for eviction—It is sufficient if the Managing partner of the firm is impleaded as respondent - Joseph K. Thomas v. Balram P. and others - I.L.R. 2025 Kerala OnLine 291: Neutral Citation No. 2025:KER:63607.

Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (Central Act 46 of 2023)—Section 442—High Court initiated suo moto revision proceedings in a situation wherein two criminal appeals were filed before the Sessions court by the same accused through two different lawyers, and in one appeal, the conviction and sentence were confirmed, while in the other, the same accused was acquitted—The order of acquittal was interfered by High Court, and cost of 1,00,000/- was mulcted on accused—Directions issued by High Court to ensure that such instances do not happen again - Suo Motu v. State of Kerala and others - I.L.R. 2025 Kerala OnLine 294: Neutral Citation No. 2025:KER:58449.
