

## **Abridged Index**

## I.L.R. - Index of reported cases - 26.02.25

Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 (Central Act 5 of 1908)—Order XI Rule 11— Viva voce examination—If the answer to the interrogatory is evasive or incomplete, the Court can order that the answering party be subjected to Viva voce examination, provided the petitioner points out which of the answers are evasive or incomplete - Dasan v. Yathra and others - I.L.R. 2025 Kerala OnLine 72: Neutral Citation No. 2025:KER:11299.

Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 (Central Act 5 of 1908)—Section 34— Scope of Section 34 explained—Even though in a suit for money, suit document does not provide any interest, the Court has the discretion to grant interest invoking power under Section 34— When plaint is silent regarding the percentage of interest by claiming the suit amount with further interest, the Court can grant interest specifying the rate, by resorting to Section 34 - Suja Rajendran v. Jalaludeen - I.L.R. 2025 Kerala OnLine 65: Neutral Citation No. 2025:KER:810.

Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (Central Act 2 of 1974)—If multiple FIRs by the same person against the same accused are permitted to be registered in respect of same set of facts and allegations, the same would result in entangling the accused in multiple criminal proceedings for the same offences—Registration of FIRs by the same person against the same accused for entirely different offence/s, not covered by the FIR earlier registered, is within the ambit of law and such FIRs cannot be

quashed—Constitution of India—Articles 21 and 22 - Gargian Sudheeran v. State of Kerala and others - I.L.R. 2025 Kerala OnLine 68: Neutral Citation No. 2025:KER:2032.

Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (Central Act 2 of 1974)—Section 125—Son obliged to maintain his parents—Even if the parents are able to sustain themselves with financial help from relatives or friends, this does not relieve the children of their obligation to provide maintenance to parents - Unneen v. Shoukathali and others - I.L.R. 2025 Kerala OnLine 70: Neutral Citation No. 2025:KER:6982.

Constitution of India—Article 14—Fairness in state action—There should be 'legal certainty'—One should be certain about the legal consequence of the fallout of non adherence to the law—In governance, the Government's actions must be effectuated on pre-established rules with certainty on the coercive measures that would follow on breach of such rules - Divya K.S. and others v. State of Kerala and others - I.L.R. 2025 Kerala Online 69: Neutral Citation No.2025:KER:7933.

Constitution of India—Article 226—A statutory authority can be restrained from doing an illegal act—Statutory authorities have the power to enter, search and seize and confiscate a product, if they suspect any of statutory provisions are being violated—Merely because petitioner states that her product does not contain tobacco, the same cannot be a ground to restrain respondents from exercising their statutory power under the Act—Cigarettes and Other Tobacco Products (Prohibition of advertisement and Regulation of Trade and Commerce, Production, Supply and Distribution) Act, 2003 (Central Act 34 of 2003)—Sections 2(p) and 12 - Siji v. State of Kerala and others - I.L.R. 2025 Kerala OnLine 73: Neutral Citation No. 2025:KER:1431.

Education Act, 1958 (Kerala Act 6 of 1959)—Section 12A—Government can take disciplinary action against an aided school teacher only if the Manager does not take any action within a reasonable time, despite intimation by government - Sreekumar P.T. (Dr.) v. State of Kerala and others - I.L.R. 2025 Kerala OnLine 64: Neutral Citation No. 2024:KER:94717.

Income Tax Act, 1961 (Central Act 43 of 1961)—Section 218 B (1)—Money held in Bank account is property liable to be attached under the Act - Assistant Commissioner of Income Tax and others v. Mohammed Salih and others - I.L.R. 2025 Kerala OnLine 63: Neutral Citation No.2025:KER:5982.

Income Tax Act, 1961 (Central Act 43 of 1961)—Section 218 B (1)—The order of attachment should be commensurate with the probable demand, including penalty—

The order should not be a blanket order attaching properties which is worth more than the demand raised - Assistant Commissioner of Income Tax and others v. Mohammed Salih and others - I.L.R. 2025 Kerala OnLine 63: Neutral Citation No.2025:KER:5982.

Income Tax Act, 1961 (Central Act 43 of 1961)—Section 226 (3)—Where the person to whom a notice is issued by the Tax Recovery Officer takes the stand that there is no money in his hands payable to defaulter or if he takes a stand that question as to whether the defaulter is entitled to the money in his possession is yet to be determined, then Tax Recovery Officer cannot treat the notice as 'assessee in default' under Section 226 (3)(x) - Special Deputy Collector and Competent Authority for Land Acquisition (National Highway) v. Principal Commissioner of Income Tax and another - I.L.R. 2025 Kerala OnLine 71: Neutral Citation No. 2024:KER:89689.

Kerala Buildings (Lease and Rent Control) Act, 1965 (Kerala Act 12 of 1965)—Section 11—Even if the tenant is one of the co-owners, he can be evicted and other leasehold rights can be enforced against him at the instance of the other co-owners—Consent of the tenant is immaterial when co-owners initiate eviction proceedings against such a tenant who has acquired only a fractional interest in the property—Relationship between executant and Power of Attorney holder is that of principal and agent—The executant's declarations and actions supersede any recitals in the deed regarding their interest in continuing the proceedings—Transfer of Property Act, 1882 (Central Act 4 of 1882)—Section 111 (d) - Mariya P.P. and others v. Nalupurayil Kadeeja - I.L.R. 2025 Kerala OnLine 67: Neutral Citation No. 2025:KER:1810.

Kerala Buildings (Lease and Rent Control) Act, 1965 (Kerala Act 12 of 1965)—Section 11—Burden of proof upon the landlord in a petition for eviction, is limited to the need projected for getting vacant possession, and does not extend to all the incidental matters narrated by him—Scope of enquiry under Section 11(3) explained - Mariya P.P. and others v. Nalupurayil Kadeeja - I.L.R. 2025 Kerala OnLine 67: Neutral Citation No. 2025:KER:1810.

Value Added Tax Act, 2003 (Kerala Act 30 of 2004)—Section 56—Power of Suo motu revision could not have been exercised, when the assessee had already preferred an appeal against the assessment order sought to be revised – When relevant factor is not taken into account, it shows non-application of mind by the statutory authority - Paul P. Paul v. State of Kerala - I.L.R. 2025 Kerala OnLine 66: Neutral Citation No. 2025:KER:794.

\*\*\*\*\*