Indian Law Reports - Kerala Series

Abridged Index

ILR – Index of reported cases dt. 28.10.2026

Admiralty Act, 2017 (Central Act 22 of 2017)—Section 1(2), First Proviso—Excludes an Inland vessel defined under Section 2(1)(a) of the repealed Inland Vessels Act, 1917, from operation of Admiralty Act—Inland vessel shall be registered and shall be plying in inland waters—A vessel which is neither registered nor plying in inland waters is not “Inland Vessel” and is not excluded from the application of the Admiralty Act—Inland Vessels Act, 2021 (Central Act 24 of 2021)—Section 3(q) - Unit Export Limited v. Catamaran Type Passenger Ferry “Apollo / Solar Boat” (IRS IR No.76585) and another - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 345.

Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 (Central Act 26 of 1996)—Sections 26, 27 and 37—No statute could put a clog on the power of the High Court in exercising its powers under Article 227 of the Constitution of India—Bar under Section 37 is not applicable to proceedings under Article 227 of the Constitution of India—Arbitrator does not have any power to appoint an Advocate commissioner but he can appoint person(s) to get expert opinion—Constitution of India—Article 227 - DLF Southern Towns Pvt. Ltd. v. Aditya Deo and another - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 344 : Neutral Citation No 2026:KER:58587.

Benami Property Transactions (Prohibition) Act, 1988 (Central Act 45 of 1988)—Section 2(9)—But for the exception envisaged for transactions among spouses under the Act, the husband would have been barred from enforcing any right in

respect of the property allegedly held benami and on trust for him by the wife—Merely because of the exemption of such transactions from the definition of 'benami transaction' under Section 2(9), it does not follow that the husband is absolved of the requirement of proving that the property was being held benami or on trust for him—When a sale deed executed in relation to a property shows the transfer of title over the property in the joint names of two persons, the initial presumption is that there is a separate transfer of parts of the title in relation to the property to each of the persons shown as transferees—In the absence of any agreement, either express or implied, to the contrary coupled with the fact that husband had done nothing to alter the position of co-ownership of wife through seeking a correction of the sale deed or approaching a civil court for a declaration of title for many years after the purchase of the property, must lead to the presumption that the intention of the parties was indeed to confer ownership over one half of the property on the wife—Evidence Act, 1872 (Central Act 1 of 1872)—Section 114 - Shereefa Shanavaz v. Shanavaz and another - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 342 : Neutral Citation No.2026:KER:54162. .

Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 (Central Act 5 of 1908)—Order II Rule 2—Bar of suit—Requirement of specific pleading and issue—Suit for permanent prohibitory injunction restraining alienation followed by subsequent suit for specific performance—Absence of specific plea in the written statement that the suit is barred and non-framing of issue by the trial court—Bar cannot be examined or entertained merely based on oral arguments - Kundathil Kabeer v. Mohammed N.V. - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 340 : Neutral Citation No. 2026:KER:74465.

Constitution of India—Article 226—It would be an abuse of the process to permit a person to approach the High Court under Article 226 for a writ of Mandamus directing the police authorities to protect his claimed possession of a property without first establishing his possession in an appropriate civil court - Thomas Pulayath (Fr.) and others v. St.Thomas Orthodox Syrian Church and others - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 339 : Neutral Citation No.2026:KER: 61759.

Customs Act, 1962 (Central Act 52 of 1962)—Section 28—Authority obtains the right to invoke Section 28, only consequent to the import of a vessel, and in no other circumstances—When the import took place in 2011 and vessel arrived without being charged any customs duty by Bhubaneswar Customs, there cannot be any demand in the subsequent year treating the arrival of the vessel at Cochin as an import - Commissioner of Customs v. M/s Universal Dredgers Ltd. - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 338 : Neutral Citation No. 2026:KER:53603.

Motor Vehicles Act, 1988 (Central Act 59 of 1988)—Section 166—The burden squarely rests upon the claimants to establish that the accident occurred due to the

negligence of the driver of the offending vehicle and that the death was the direct consequence of the injuries sustained in the accident—In the absence of satisfactory evidence establishing the involvement of the offending vehicle, the claim under Section 166 of the Motor Vehicles Act cannot succeed - Sindhu G. and others v. Balachandran and others - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 346 : Neutral Citation No.2026:KER:501603.

Prevention of Money Laundering Act, 2002 (Central Act 15 of 2003)—Section 66(2)—Direction by Single Judge to register FIR without pre-decisional hearing—Right to be heard before registration of FIR—When sufficient material disclosing commission of cognizable offences are furnished along with the information under Section 66(2) of the PML Act, 2002, there is no necessity for conducting a preliminary inquiry—The accused in a corruption case has no legal right to be heard before registering the FIR—The accused persons are also not entitled to demand a preliminary inquiry before registering an FIR in a corruption case—Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988 (Central Act 49 of 1988) - Mohammed Riyas P.A. and others v. K.M. Shajahan (Adv.) and others - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 343 : Neutral Citation No. 2026:KER:79184.

Service—When the proceedings before the Criminal Court has not been concluded, the Bank authorities could not as a general rule hold that on a mere registration of a crime alleging offence punishable under Section 85 of BNS, would automatically be translated into an offence involving moral turpitude for the purpose of civil consequences such as employment—When selection is an all India selection and it is made clear in the advertisement that selected candidates are liable to be posted anywhere in India, it cannot be contended that High Court of Kerala does not possess territorial jurisdiction—High Court can entertain writ petition if cause of action, wholly or in part, arises within its jurisdiction –Constitution of India—Article 226—Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (Central Act 45 of 2023)—Section 85 - Karthik M.I. v. State Bank of India and others - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 341 : Neutral Citation No.2026:KER:59210.

Specific Relief Act, 1963 (Central Act 47 of 1963)—Sections 14, 27, 31 and 34—Agreement for sale—Unilateral termination/repudiation by vendor—Non-determinable contract—Absence of clause enabling unilateral rescission—Repudiation amounts to breach of contract— Purchaser entitled to sue directly for specific performance without seeking a declaration of subsistence or invalidity of termination - Kundathil Kabeer v. Mohammed N.V. - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 340 : Neutral Citation No. 2026:KER:74465.

Specific Relief Act, 1963 (Central Act 47 of 1963)—Sections 16(c) and 20—Readiness and willingness—Sale consideration fixed on centage

basis—Unsubstantiated plea of deficit in extent—Tender of reduced consideration at variance with the contract—Failure to establish financial capacity—Delay of nearly three years in instituting suit on the eve of limitation—Plaintiff is not entitled to get decree for specific performance as he did not prove readiness and willingness to perform his part of the contract - Kundathil Kabeer v. Mohammed N.V. - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 340 : Neutral Citation No. 2026:KER:74465.

Pl. visit our website – www.ilrkerala.gov.in

*****