



Indian Law Reports - Kerala Series

Abridged Index

I.L.R. – Index of cases reported dt. 30.09.2026

Assisted Reproductive Technology (Regulation) Act, 2021 (Central Act 42 of 2021)—Section 22 (1) (a)—Clinic not to perform any treatment or procedure without the written, informed consent of all the Parties—Clinic shall maintain consent forms for freezing of embryos under Form 9—The statute requires the husband/man and wife/women to consider a situation where, in the unforeseen event of his/her death, what would be his/her intention as regards the use of the “embryos”—Assisted Reproductive Technology (Regulation) Rules, 2022 (Central)—Rule 13(1)(f)(iv) - Priya Sreeraj v. Union of India and others - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 306 : [Neutral Citation No.2026:KER:66664](#).

Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (Central Act 46 of 2023)—Bail—Bail application must disclose all material particulars, including criminal antecedents—Bail application should comply with directions in Zeba Khan v. State of U.P. and Others and the Official Memorandum No.HCKL/9590/2025-DI-1 dated 13.03.2026 of the High Court of Kerala—Bail applications that do not contain the requisite disclosures shall not be numbered—Directions issued - Paramasivam v. State of Kerala - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 313 : [Neutral Citation No.2026:KER:70303](#).

Central Civil Services (Pension) Rules, 2021—Rule 8—Departmental disciplinary proceedings against former employee initiated after termination/removal—Rule 8 does not authorize the commencement of disciplinary action against an employee

after he had been terminated or removed or had retired from service—Departmental proceedings can only be continued after retirement under Rule 8(2), if instituted while the employee was in service—FACT Employees (Conduct, Discipline and Appeal) Rules, 1997 - Union of India v. Jaiveer Sreevastava - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 304 : [Neutral Citation No.2026:KER:68509.](#)

Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 (Central Act 5 of 1908)—Order XXXIII Rule 1—Foreclosure of fixed deposit immediately after decree to deplete funds operates to the detriment of the applicant under Explanation II to Order XXXIII Rule 1—Society not entitled to sue as an indigent person - Pirayar South NSS Karayogam No.134 v. Friends Club Kidangoor - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 314 : [Neutral Citation No.2026:KER:66353.](#)

Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 (Central Act 5 of 1908)—Order XXXIII Rules 1 and 3—Application to sue/appeal as an indigent person—Society registered under Travancore-Cochin Literary, Scientific and Charitable Societies Registration Act, 1955 is not a juristic person—Society stands on the same footing as an unincorporated body of persons/firm—Society cannot satisfy the mandatory personal presentation requirement under Order XXXIII Rule 3—Definition of ‘person’ under Section 3(42) of the General Clauses Act, 1897 cannot be imported, where it is repugnant to the context - Order XLIV Rule 1 - Pirayar South NSS Karayogam No.134 v. Friends Club Kidangoor - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 314 : [Neutral Citation No.2026:KER:66353.](#)

Constitution of India—Article 21—Central Government Health Scheme—Medical Reimbursement—Treatment in Non-Empanelled Hospital—Emergency life-saving surgery—Real test is the factum of treatment certified by hospital/doctors—Right to medical reimbursement cannot be denied solely because the hospital is not an empanelled one - Union of India and others v. Joseph K.J. - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 311 : [Neutral Citation No.2026:KER:44708.](#)

Constitution of India—Article 226—Maintainability of Writ petition—Arbitrary and unreasonable actions of statutory authorities can always be reviewed in a writ petition - M/s Voltaic Engineering Company v. M/s Eneca India Pvt. Ltd. and others - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 308 : [Neutral Citation No.2026:KER:63338.](#)

Co-operative Societies Act, 1969 (Kerala Act 21 of 1969)—Sections 69, 76 and 77—Under the provisos to Sections 76(a) and 76(b) of the 1969 Act, a 12-year limitation period governs the execution of an award passed under Section 69, whether enforcement is sought through the Civil Court or by revenue recovery proceedings via the Collector—Registrar, when exercising any power under the 1969 Act for recovery of any amount due to a Society, is deemed to be a Civil Court for the

purposes of Article 136 of the Schedule to the Limitation Act, 1963— Limitation Act, 1963 (Central Act 36 of 1963) - Ramla Beevi and others v. State of Kerala and others - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 312 : [Neutral Citation No.2026:KER:68726](#).

Debts Recovery Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1993—Rules 5, 22 and 23—Presentation and scrutiny of applications—The procedure for presentation and scrutiny of the applications and the Powers and functions of the Registrar, explained - Fathima M.P. v. IDBI Bank Ltd. and others - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 302 : [Neutral Citation No.2026:KER:63254](#).

Debts Recovery Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1993—Rule 5 (5)—Procedure to be followed by the Presiding Officer, while considering note of Registrar declining to register an application on failure of the Applicant to rectify defects - Fathima M.P. v. IDBI Bank Ltd. and others - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 302 : [Neutral Citation No.2026:KER:63254](#).

Divorce Act, 1869 (Central Act 4 of 1869)—Section 3(3)—Section 3(3) of the Act, and in particular, the second limb to the definition of “District Court” that clarifies that “the District Court (Family Court) within whose jurisdiction the husband and wife reside”, shall be seen as enabling a wife, when she is the petitioner, to present a petition under the Act before a District Court (Family Court) having jurisdiction over the place where she resides at the time of presenting the petition, notwithstanding that the said place may be different from the place where her marriage was solemnized or where she and her husband last resided together or where her husband presently resides—Court adopted the interpretation technique to treat a statute as “always speaking”—Constitution of India —Article 15(3) - Princy N.V. v. Union of India and others - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 315 : [Neutral Citation No.2026:KER:64014](#).

Foreign Liquor Rules, 1953 (Kerala)—Rule 13(3)—Under Note (1) to the first proviso of Rule 13(3), the phrase “where prayer is offered by Christians” must be read conjunctively with “public place”—To qualify as a church, proof of ownership, possession, or administrative setup is unnecessary; it is sufficient to establish on record that the assembly hall is a public place where Christians—such as those of the Brethren denomination—offer prayers - M/s Hotel Palace Inn and another v. Town Brethren Assembly and others - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 309 : [Neutral Citation No.2026:KER:62546](#).

Interpretation of Statutes—Purposive rule of interpretation—Statutory provision is to be interpreted with respect to the object and purpose the legislature had in view, in enacting the provision and the context of the setting in which it occurred - M/s Hotel Palace Inn and another v. Town Brethren Assembly and others - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala

Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (Central Act 1 of 1894)—Section 23— Fixation of the multiplier while awarding compensation—The multiplier to be applied should be only Ten for determining the market value by the capitalisation method - Kerala State Electricity Board v. Kunjumon and another - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 307 : [Neutral Citation No.2026:KER:57436](#).

Limitation Act, 1963 (Central Act 36 of 1963)—Section 5—Condonation of Delay—Principles applicable—Bureaucratic inefficiency and administrative lapses—Where delay occurs due to gross negligence, inaction, or casual indifference, the reasoning projected cannot be treated as “sufficient cause” to condone delay—Public interest does not lie in condoning governmental negligence, but in compelling efficiency, responsibility, and timely decision-making - Kerala State Electricity Board and others v. Noushad T.C. and another - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 305 : [Neutral Citation No.2026:KER:67247](#).

Motor Vehicles Act, 1988 (Central Act 59 of 1988)—Section 166—Subsequent death of the deceased’s dependent ought not to be a reason for reducing the motor accident compensation, as the rights of the parties and the corresponding liabilities crystallise on the date of the accident, and subsequent events ordinarily do not affect pending proceedings—Net income, after deducting the income tax paid, should be adopted for the purpose of computing compensation - Lekshmi and others v. Abdul Salam and others - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 303 : [Neutral Citation No. 2026:KER:58489](#).

Passports Act, 1967 (Central Act 15 of 1967)—Re-issuance of passport—Deletion of spouse’s name—Requirement of divorce decree—Divorce under Muslim Personal Law—Schedule forms part of statutory rules—Executive instructions cannot override or supersede statutory rules—Insistence on divorce decree from a competent court when statute dispenses with it is impermissible—Passports Rules, 1980 (Central), Schedule III, Section IV(B) - Raeesa Parveen v. Union of India and others - I.L.R. 2026 Kerala OnLine 310 : [Neutral Citation No. 2026 :KER : 61152](#).

Pl. visit our website – www.ilrkerala.gov.in
