



## Indian Law Reports - Kerala Series

### Abridged Index

#### I.L.R. INDEX OF REPORTED CASES dt. 31.12.2025

**Abkari Act, 1077 (Kerala Act 1 of 1077)**—Section 67B—The confiscation of the vehicle under Section 67B cannot be sustained when the petitioner is acquitted in the original prosecution—The word ‘may’ used in Section 67B(2) would indicate that the power conferred on the authorised officer to order confiscation of property is discretionary since he is not obliged to order confiscation, even if he is satisfied that an offence has been committed under the Act in respect of or by means of that property - Biju Ettammal v. State of Kerala and others - I.L.R. 2025 Kerala OnLine 397 : [Neutral Citation No.2025 : KER : 92410](#).

**Cinematograph Act, 1952 (Central Act of 37 of 1952)**—Section 5C—Appeal to the High Court against order passed by Censor board shall be numbered as MFA (Cinematograph Act) - Catholic Congress v. Jubby Thomas and others - I.L.R. 2025 Kerala OnLine 400 : [Neutral Citation No.2025:KER: 94508](#).

**Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (Central Act 2 of 1974)**—Section 125—A mother can claim maintenance from her husband, even if her children are maintaining her—The fact that the son or daughter of a woman has sufficient means and provides maintenance to her would not absolve the husband of his independent statutory obligation—A Muslim wife who resides separately from her husband on his contracting a second marriage is entitled to claim her statutory right of maintenance under Cr.PC/BNSS—Polygamy for men is allowed under Muslim law only in

exceptional and extraordinary circumstances, that too under the strict injunction that all the wives must be treated equally and equitably—Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (Central Act 46 of 2023) —Section 144—Muslim Personal Law - Vappinu v. Fathima - I.L.R. 2025 Kerala OnLine 396 : [Neutral Citation No.2025 : KER : 86453](#).

**Constitution of India**—Article 19 (1) (a)—The social impact of a movie should be judged from the perspective of an ordinary person of reasonable intelligence, rather than a hypersensitive one; social change, rather than orthodox notions of morality, must be borne in mind—The film must be judged by its overall message and not from isolated depictions of social evils and it need not comply strictly with religious requirements or be excessively moralising - Catholic Congress v. Jubby Thomas and others - I.L.R. 2025 Kerala OnLine 400 : [Neutral Citation No.2025:KER: 94508](#).

**Constitution of India**—Articles 21 and 227—When the ordered maintenance is fundamental for the petitioner to sustain, and when her life itself stands prejudiced on account of the default of the respondent, any liberty for him to contest a matter would have to depend upon his willingness to honor it and in no other—Right to obtain maintenance by a spouse from the other, as statutorily ingrained, stems from the right to live with dignity, which is on par with the fundamental right to life - Naseema v. Abdulla - I.L.R. 2025 Kerala OnLine 395 : [Neutral Citation No.2025 : KER : 87936](#).

**Income Tax Act, 1961 (Central Act 43 of 1961)**—Section 10 (23BBA)—Tax exemption under the section applies only to statutory bodies and does not extend to religious institutions which are governed by statutory bodies - Madhur Sree Madanantheswara Vinayaka Temple Administrative Body v. Income Tax Officer and others - I.L.R. 2025 Kerala OnLine 394: [Neutral Citation No.2025:KER:84982](#).

**Income Tax Act, 1961 (Central Act 43 of 1961)**—Section 10 (23BBA)—Proviso is only for providing clarity - Scope of proviso to Section 10(23BBA) examined - Madhur Sree Madanantheswara Vinayaka Temple Administrative Body v. Income Tax Officer and others - I.L.R. 2025 Kerala OnLine 394: [Neutral Citation No.2025:KER:84982](#).

**Kerala University of Health Sciences First Statutes, 2013**—Chapter XXI, Clause 2(5)(ii)(v)—Purposive interpretation—The requirement relating to the average pass percentage of previous regular examinations in the second limb of Clause 2(5)(ii)(v) must be construed with reference to the courses conducted by the institution under the same stream, as indicated in the first limb - The Principal, Dr. Somervell Memorial CSI Medical College, Allied Health Sciences v. State of Kerala and others - I.L.R. 2025 Kerala OnLine 399 : [Neutral Citation No.94767](#).

**Limitation Act, 1963 (Central Act 36 of 1963)**—Section 3—It is the duty of the Court to consider the question of limitation, even though there is no such defence - Aleyamma Iype and others v. M/s. Kerala Spinners Ltd. And others - I.L.R. 2025 Kerala OnLine 393 : [Neutral Citation No.2025:KER:89283](#).

**Municipality Act, 1994 (Kerala Act 20 of 1994)**—Section 207—Statutory vesting—Municipality cannot resist execution of decree by asserting ownership over Government puramboke land on the basis of vesting without consideration—Revenue record is not proof of title - Palakkad Municipality v. Krishnanunni and others - I.L.R. 2025 Kerala OnLine 398 : [Neutral Citation No.2025 : KER: 93444](#).

**Partnership Act, 1932 (Central Act 9 of 1932)**—Section 19—No implied authority can be claimed by a partner in the matter of submitting a dispute relating to the business of the firm, in the absence of usage or custom of trade to the contrary—When a partner of a partnership firm invokes Section 11 of Arbitration and Conciliation Act, and seeks appointment of an Arbitrator for deciding a dispute related to the business of the firm, he or she is definitely submitting a dispute relating to the business of the firm to arbitration – Filing of an application under Section 11(6) would also fall within the ambit of Section 19(2)(a) of Indian Partnership Act—There cannot be a proposition that every application filed under Section 11(6) of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, shall be mechanically entertained and the examination should confine to the existence and validity of an arbitration agreement—Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 (Central Act 26 of 1996) —Section 11(6) - M/s. P.K. Chandrasekharan Nair and Co. v. M/s. Hindustan Petroleum Corporation Limited - I.L.R. 2025 Kerala OnLine 392 : [Neutral Citation No.2025 : KER : 90639](#).

**Service**—When an employee is transferred because his presence is harmful to the smooth running of the organisation, the element of punishment is absent—In every case of errant behavior of a subordinate, the superior is not bound to hold a departmental enquiry and impose punishment - Sunil Kumar M. v. Kerala State Road Transport Corporation and others - I.L.R. Kerala OnLine 391 : [Neutral Citation No.2025:KER:83875](#).

**Specific Relief Act, 1963 (Central Act 47 of 1963)**—Section 16—Decree of injunction against alienation—Restrains only voluntary transfer and it is not a bar for decree for specific performance - Aleyamma Iype and others v. M/s. Kerala Spinners Ltd. And others - I.L.R. 2025 Kerala OnLine 393 : [Neutral Citation No.2025:KER:89283](#).

**Specific Relief Act, 1963 (Central Act 47 of 1963)**—Section 16—Maxim “Qui prior est tempore, potior est jure” can aid only if the person resorting to the principle has acted upon the agreement - Aleyamma Iype and others v. M/s. Kerala Spinners Ltd. And others - I.L.R. 2025 Kerala OnLine 393 : [Neutral Citation No.2025:KER:89283](#).

**Specific Relief Act, 1963 (Central Act 47 of 1963)**—Sections 16 and 20—Specific performance has to be granted unless the purchaser fails to perform his part - Aleyamma Iype and others v. M/s. Kerala Spinners Ltd. And others - I.L.R. 2025 Kerala OnLine 393 : [Neutral Citation No.2025:KER:89283](#).

**Specific Relief Act, 1963 (Central Act 47 of 1963)**—Sections 16 and 20—Unexplained delay in seeking specific performance can be a ground to refuse specific performance - Aleyamma Iype and others v. M/s. Kerala Spinners Ltd. And others - I.L.R. 2025 Kerala OnLine 393 : [Neutral Citation No.2025:KER:89283](#).

**TEAM ILR WISHES ALL ITS PATRONS A PROSPEROUS AND PEACEFUL NEW  
YEAR**

**Pl. visit our website [www.ilrkerala.gov.in](http://www.ilrkerala.gov.in)**

**\*\*\*\*\***